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Summary

The legislative branch appropriations bill provides funding for the Senate; House of Representatives; Joint Items; Capitol Police; Office of Compliance; Congressional Budget Office; Architect of the Capitol; Library of Congress, including the Congressional Research Service; Government Printing Office; Government Accountability Office; and Open World Leadership Center.

The legislative branch FY2013 budget request of $4.512 billion, which is submitted to the President by the legislative branch agencies and entities and included in the budget without change, was submitted to Congress on February 13, 2012. The request represents an increase of $205.5 million over the $4.307 billion in discretionary funding provided in Division G of the FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 2055, P.L. 112-74), which was enacted on December 23, 2011.

The Subcommittees on the Legislative Branch of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees both held hearings during which Members considered the FY2013 legislative branch requests. Among issues that were considered during hearings were the following:

- the tight budget environment, prioritization of budget resources, and further options for potential savings or efficiencies;
- state and district office security;
- preparations and funding for the January 2013 Presidential Inauguration;
- deferred maintenance around the Capitol Complex; and
- the future of government printing in the digital age.

Previously, the legislative branch budget was decreased for FY2011 and FY2012. The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $236.9 million (-5.2%) from the FY2011 level, which represented a $125.1 million decrease (-2.7%) from FY2010.


Since FY1976, the legislative branch has represented approximately 0.4% of total discretionary budget authority.
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Most Recent Developments

The legislative branch budget request of $4.512 billion, which was included in the President’s budget, was submitted to Congress on February 13, 2012. The requested level represents a 4.8% ($205.5 million) increase over the FY2012 enacted level.

In February and March 2012, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees’ Legislative Branch Subcommittees both held hearings during which Members considered the FY2013 legislative branch requests.

Prior Year Funding

Division G of the FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 2055, P.L. 112-74), which was enacted on December 23, 2011, provided $4.307 billion in discretionary funding for the legislative branch. This level was $236.9 million (5.2%) below the FY2011 enacted level. The legislative branch represents approximately 0.4% of the total discretionary appropriations provided in this act.

Previously, P.L. 112-10 provided $4.543 billion for legislative branch operations in FY2011. This level represented a $125.1 million decrease from the $4.668 billion provided in the FY2010 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-68) and the FY2010 Supplemental Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-212). The FY2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act provided $4.402 billion.1 In FY2009, an additional $25.0 million was provided for the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.2 P.L. 111-32, the FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Act, also contained funding for the police radio system ($71.6 million) and Congressional Budget Office ($2.0 million).3

Figure 1 compares legislative branch discretionary budget authority to total discretionary budget authority since FY1976. It shows the average annual proportion of total discretionary budget authority over this period is 0.4%. The highest proportional full-year level, 0.48%, was in FY1995, and the lowest, 0.31%, was in FY2009.

Table 1 provides additional information on legislative branch funding provided in annual and supplemental appropriations acts.

---

1 Table 4 presents information on the FY2012 appropriation and FY2013 budget request for the legislative branch.
Figure 1. Legislative Branch as a Proportion of Total Discretionary Budget Authority
Percentage by Fiscal Year, FY1976-FY2012


Notes: This graph does not include the FY1976 transition quarter, so all intervals represent 12 months. FY2012 is derived from the OMB “2012 Estimate.” The table has some limitations, since the OMB data do not completely align with items funded in the annual and supplemental legislative branch appropriations acts. The differences may be partially traced to the definition of “legislative branch” in the OMB Public Budget Database “user’s guide.” Some entities regularly included with the legislative branch in many OMB budget documents, like the United States Tax Court and some Legislative Branch Boards and Commissions, are not funded through the annual legislative branch appropriations acts. Consequently, an examination of the discretionary budget authority listed in the Historical Tables reveals some differences with the reported total budget authority provided in the annual legislative branch appropriations acts. The difference in legislative branch budget authority resulting from the different definitions of the legislative branch in the OMB budget documents and in the appropriations acts, however, does not represent a large difference in the proportion of total discretionary budget authority.

Figure 2. FY2012 Legislative Branch Appropriations: Division of Budget Authority

Source: P.L. 112-74, calculations by the Congressional Research Service.

Notes: Does not include offsetting collections or authority to spend receipts. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
Table 1. Legislative Branch Appropriations, FY2000-FY2012
(budget authority in billions of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.486a</td>
<td>2.730b</td>
<td>3.252c</td>
<td>3.461d</td>
<td>3.528e</td>
<td>3.640f</td>
<td>3.793g</td>
<td>3.852h</td>
<td>3.970i</td>
<td>4.501j</td>
<td>4.669k</td>
<td>4.543k</td>
<td>4.307k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Congressional Research Service.

**Notes:** These figures represent current dollars, exclude permanent budget authorities, and contain supplementals and rescissions. Permanent budget authorities, including funding for Member pay, are not included in the annual legislative branch appropriations bill but are automatically funded each year.


b. This figure contains (1) FY2001 appropriations contained in H.R. 5657, legislative branch appropriations bill; (2) FY2001 supplemental appropriations of $118.0 million and a 0.22% across-the-board rescission contained in H.R. 5666, miscellaneous appropriations bill; and (3) FY2001 supplemental appropriations of $79.5 million contained in H.R. 2216 (P.L. 107-20). H.R. 5657 and H.R. 5666 were incorporated by reference in P.L. 106-554, FY2001 Consolidated Appropriations Act. The first FY2001 legislative branch appropriations bill, H.R. 4516, was vetoed October 30, 2000. The second legislative branch appropriations bill, H.R. 5657, was introduced December 14, 2000, and incorporated in P.L. 106-554. This figure does not reflect any terrorism supplemental funds released pursuant to P.L. 107-38.

c. This figure contains appropriations in P.L. 107-68, transfers from the legislative branch emergency response fund pursuant to P.L. 107-117, and FY2002 supplemental appropriations in P.L. 107-206.


e. This figure contains appropriations in P.L. 108-83, FY2004 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act. Additional FY2004 provisions which did not contain appropriations were contained in P.L. 108-199, the FY2004 Consolidated Appropriations Act.

f. This figure contains appropriations in P.L. 108-447, Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2005 (adjusted by a 0.80% rescission also contained in P.L. 108-447), and P.L. 109-13, FY2005 Emergency Supplemental.

g. This figure contains appropriations in P.L. 109-55, FY2006 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act (adjusted by a 1.0% rescission contained in P.L. 109-148), and the FY2006 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-234).

h. This figure contains appropriations in P.L. 110-5, the Revised Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007, and P.L. 110-28, the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans’ Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act, 2007.

i. This figure contains the appropriations in P.L. 110-161, the FY2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, $25.0 million for the legislative branch contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5), and $73.6 million contained in P.L. 111-32.

j. This figure contains the appropriations in P.L. 111-68, the FY2010 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, and the $12.96 million in supplemental appropriations provided for the U.S. Capitol Police in P.L. 111-212.

k. This figure does not include scorekeeping adjustment.
Status of FY2013 Appropriations

Table 2. Status of Legislative Branch Appropriations, FY2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Markup</th>
<th>Conference Report Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House Report</td>
<td>Senate Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Passage</td>
<td>Senate Passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Report</td>
<td>Conference Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congressional Research Service.

Note: In recent years, the House has had a subcommittee markup, while the Senate has held a markup for the legislative branch only at the full committee level.

Action on the FY2013 Legislative Branch Appropriations Bill

Submission of FY2013 Budget Request on February 13, 2012

The FY2013 U.S. Budget submitted on February 13, 2012, contained a request for $4.512 billion in new budget authority for legislative branch activities, an increase of approximately 4.8% from the FY2012 enacted level.4

By law, the legislative branch request is submitted to the President and included in the budget without change.5

Senate and House Hearings on the FY2013 Budget Requests

Table 3 lists the dates of hearings of the Legislative Branch Subcommittees in 2012.

Table 3. Dates of House and Senate Hearings on Legislative Branch Requests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>House of Representatives</th>
<th>Senate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>March 22, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Representatives</td>
<td>March 27, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Police</td>
<td>February 8, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Compliance</td>
<td>March 1, 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


5 Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1105, “Estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations for the legislative branch and the judicial branch to be included in each budget ... shall be submitted to the President ... and included in the budget by the President without change.” Division C of the FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 112-74) added language to 31 U.S.C. 1107 relating to budget amendments, stating: “The President shall transmit promptly to Congress without change, proposed deficiency and supplemental appropriations submitted to the President by the legislative branch and the judicial branch.”
The Budget Control Act (BCA) and FY2013 Spending Levels

FY2013 discretionary appropriations will be considered in the context of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA, P.L. 112-25), which established discretionary spending limits for FY2012 to FY2021. The BCA also tasked a Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to develop a federal deficit reduction plan for Congress and the President to enact by January 15, 2012. The failure of Congress and the President to enact deficit reduction legislation by that date triggered an automatic spending reduction process established by the BCA, consisting of a combination of sequestration and lower discretionary spending caps, to begin on January 2, 2013. The sequestration process for FY2013 requires across-the-board spending cuts at the account and program level to achieve equal budget reductions from both defense and nondefense funding at a percentage to be announced by the Office of Management and Budget. As a result, the FY2013 legislative branch appropriation will be considered by Congress with the understanding that enacted funding levels will likely be subject to significant cuts in the nondefense category under the sequestration process unless legislation specifically repealing the sequestration provisions of the BCA is enacted by Congress before next January.

Additionally, the House has passed a budget resolution at a level below the spending cap contained in the BCA, providing $1.028 trillion in overall discretionary budget authority (H.Con.Res. 112).

The Senate Appropriations Committee also adopted, on April 19, 2012, 302(b) budget allocations, allocating $4.42 billion in discretionary spending for the legislative branch (S.Rept. 112-156).

FY2013 Legislative Branch Funding Issues

The following sections discuss the various legislative branch accounts as well as issues discussed during the hearings on the budget requests.
Senate

Overall Funding

The Senate requested $910.5 million for FY2013, an increase of 4.8% ($41.9 million) over the FY2012 level of $868.6 million.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $45.6 million (-5.0%) from the $914.2 million provided in FY2011, and the FY2011 level represented a reduction of $12.0 million (-1.3%) from the FY2010 level of $926.2 million.

FY2013 requests and FY2012 funding levels for headings within the Senate account are presented in Table 5.

Senate Committee Funding

Appropriations for Senate committees are contained in two accounts:

- The *inquiries and investigations account* contains funds for all Senate committees except Appropriations. The Senate requested $138.0 million for inquiries and investigations, an increase of $6.7 million (5.1%) from the $131.3 million provided in FY2012. The FY2012 level was a decrease of $8.9 million (-6.4%) from the $140.2 million provided in FY2011 and FY2010.

- The *Committee on Appropriations account* contains funds for the Senate Appropriations Committee. The Senate requested $14.9 million, which is equal to the FY2012 level.

Senators’ Official Personnel and Office Expense Account

The Senators’ Official Personnel and Office Expense Account provides each Senator with funds to administer an office. It consists of an administrative and clerical assistance allowance, a legislative assistance allowance, and an official office expense allowance. The funds may be used for any category of expenses, subject to limitations on official mail.

The Senate requested $425.6 million for FY2013, an increase of $29.4 million (7.4%) from the FY2012 level of $396.2 million. The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $13.0 million (-3.2%) from the FY2011 level of $409.2 million. FY2011 decrease followed a decrease in FY2010 of $12.8 million (-3.0%) from the FY2010 level of $422.0 million.7

---

6 For additional information on committee funding, see CRS Report R40424, *Senate Committee Expenditures Resolutions, 112th Congress, and Funding Authorizations Since 1995*, by Matthew Eric Glassman.

7 The FY2011 act (P.L. 112-10) also contained language stating, “each Senator’s official personnel and office expense allowance (including the allowance for administrative and clerical assistance, the salaries allowance for legislative assistance to Senators, as authorized by the Legislative Branch Appropriation Act, 1978 (P.L. 95-94), and the office expense allowance for each Senator’s office for each State) in effect immediately before the date of enactment of this section shall be reduced by 5 percent.”
**Legislative Branch: FY2013 Appropriations**

**Highlights of the Senate Hearing on the FY2013 Budget of the Senate**

At a hearing on March 22, 2012, the Senate subcommittee discussed new responsibilities for the Secretary of the Senate and the Sergeant at Arms related to financial disclosure forms required under the STOCK Act (S. 2038, P.L. 112-105); the Senate Information Services Program (SIS) operating budget; requested increases for state office security; and costs related to the January 2013 Presidential Inauguration.

**House of Representatives**

**Overall Funding**

The House requested $1.226 billion for FY2013, the same level as provided in FY2012.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $85.7 million (-6.5%) from the FY2011 level of $1.311 billion. The FY2011 level represented a decrease of $57.6 million (-4.2%) from the FY2010 level of $1.369 billion.

In related action, on January 6, 2011, the House agreed to H.Res. 22 (112th Congress), which reduced the authorized amounts for the Members’ Representational Allowances, House leadership offices, and all committees except the Committee on Appropriations by 5%, with a 9% reduction for the Committee on Appropriations.

FY2013 requests and FY2012 funding levels for headings in the House of Representatives account are presented in **Table 6**.

**House Committee Funding**

Funding for House committees is contained in the appropriation heading “committee employees,” which comprises two subheadings.

The first subheading contains funds for personnel and nonpersonnel expenses of House committees, except the Appropriations Committee, as authorized by the House in a committee expense resolution. The House requested $126.4 million, an increase of $399,000 (0.3%) from the FY2012 level of $126.0 million. The FY2012 level was a decrease of $8.6 million from the $134.5 million provided for FY2011. The FY2011 level was a decrease of $5.3 million from the $139.9 million provided in FY2010.

The second subheading contains funds for the personnel and nonpersonnel expenses of the Committee on Appropriations. The House requested $26.7 million for FY2013, a level equal to

---

8 The Congressional Budget Office cost estimate of this legislation, issued January 31, 2012, is available at http://.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/attachments/s2038.pdf. It states: “Based on information from Congressional staff, CBO estimates that implementing the financial disclosure system required under S. 2038 would cost $4 million over the 2012-2013 period primarily for new computer hardware and software and additional labor. In addition, maintaining the new system would cost $1 million annually, CBO estimates.”

9 For additional information on committee funding, CRS Report RL32794, *House Committee Funding Requests and Authorizations, 104th-112th Congresses*, by Matthew Eric Glassman.
that of FY2012. The FY2012 level was a decrease of $1.8 million from the FY2011 level of $28.5 million, which was a decrease of $2.8 million from the FY2010 level of $31.3 million.

Members’ Representational Allowance

The Members’ Representational Allowance (MRA) is available to support Members in their official and representational duties. The House requested $573.9 million, the same level as provided for FY2012. This level was $39.1 million less than the $613.1 million provided in FY2011. The FY2011 level was a decrease of nearly $47.0 million from the $660.0 million provided in FY2010.

Highlights of the House Hearing on the FY2013 Budget of the House of Representatives

At a hearing on March 27, 2012, the House subcommittee continued discussion from the FY2012 hearings related to district office security and expenses for legal services related to the Defense of Marriage Act, as well as reduced budgets for Member offices; subscription services; implementation of the STOCK Act, including costs related to new public filing and disclosure responsibilities of the Clerk of the House; diversity policies; constitutional authority statements required pursuant to House Rule XII and H.Res. 5; and the activities of the House Inspector General.

Support Agency Funding

U.S. Capitol Police

The U.S. Capitol Police (USCP) are responsible for the security of the Capitol Complex including the U.S. Capitol, the House and Senate office buildings, the U.S. Botanic Garden, and the Library of Congress buildings and adjacent grounds.

The USCP requested $373.8 million for FY2013, an increase of $33.6 million (9.9%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided $340.1 million, the same level as for FY2011. Previously, the FY2010 appropriations act provided $328.3 million, and P.L. 111-212 provided an additional $12.96 million in supplemental appropriations.

The USCP FY2013 request and FY2012 funding level are presented in Table 7.

Appropriations for the police are contained in two accounts—a salaries account and a general expenses account. The salaries account contains funds for the salaries of employees; overtime pay; hazardous duty pay differential; and government contributions for employee health, retirement, Social Security, professional liability insurance, and other benefit programs. The general expenses account contains funds for expenses of vehicles; communications equipment; security equipment and its installation; dignitary protection; intelligence analysis; hazardous material response; uniforms; weapons; training programs; medical, forensic, and communications

---

10 For additional information, see CRS Report R40962, Members’ Representational Allowance: History and Usage, by Ida A. Brudnick.
services; travel; relocation of instructors for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center; and other administrative and technical support, among other expenses. The Capitol Police requested $303.1 million for salaries (an increase of nearly $26 million, or 9.4%) and $70.6 million (an increase of $7.6 million, or 12.1%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided the same level as in FY2011 for both salaries and general expenses.

Another appropriation relating to the Capitol Police appears within the Architect of the Capitol account for Capitol Police buildings and grounds. The Capitol Police had requested $30.8 million, an increase of $9.3 million (43.3%) over the FY2012 level of $21.5 million. The FY2011 enacted level was nearly $27.0 million.

**Highlights of the House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of the U.S. Capitol Police**

At a hearing on March 22, 2012, the Senate subcommittee discussed costs related to the January 2013 Presidential Inauguration; overtime costs; and the radio modernization project.

On February 8, 2012, the House subcommittee discussed the response to the earthquake on August 23, 2011; the transfer of Union Square and public access; the status of a discrimination lawsuit; the mission of the Capitol Police; measures to control overtime costs; inauguration planning; and district office security.

**Architect of the Capitol**

The Architect of the Capitol (AOC) is responsible for the maintenance, operation, development, and preservation of the United States Capitol Complex, which includes the Capitol and its grounds, House and Senate office buildings, Library of Congress buildings and grounds, Capitol power plant, Botanic Garden, Capitol Visitor Center, and Capitol Police buildings and grounds. The Architect is responsible for the Supreme Court buildings and grounds, but appropriations for their expenses are not contained in the legislative branch appropriations bill.

**Overall Funding Levels**

Operations of the Architect are funded in the following 10 accounts: general administration, Capitol building, Capitol grounds, Senate office buildings, House office buildings, Capitol power plant, Library buildings and grounds, Capitol Police buildings and grounds, Capitol Visitor Center, and Botanic Garden.

The Architect requested $668.2 million, an increase of $100.7 million (17.7%) from the FY2012 level of $567.5 million.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $32.9 million (-5.5%) from the FY2011 enacted level of $600.4 million (not including a rescission of $14.6 million in unobligated amounts of prior year appropriations for the Capitol Visitor Center contained in the FY2011 act). The FY2011 level represented a decrease of $1.2 million (-0.2%) from the $601.6 million provided in FY2010. In FY2010, a 21.7% increase (or $644.6 million) was requested, and a 13.6% increase was
provided ($601.6 million).\textsuperscript{11} In FY2009, a 55.4% increase ($642.7 million) was requested, and a 28% increase ($529.6 million) was provided. The FY2008 budget authority ($413.5 million) represented a decrease of 8.1% from the $449.9 million (including supplemental appropriations) provided in FY2007.

The FY2013 request and FY2012 funding level for each of the AOC accounts are presented in Table 8.

**Highlights of the House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of the Architect of the Capitol**

At a hearing on March 1, 2012, the Senate subcommittee discussed the Capitol Dome skirt project; the Capitol power plant and chiller capacity; potential consolidation of financial management systems; fire and life safety priorities; and improvements to Union Square, which includes the Capitol reflecting pool and the Grant Memorial.

At a hearing on February 9, 2012, the House subcommittee discussed the AOC policy on workplace harassment; maintenance of the Capitol Visitor Center; the potential for co-generation at the Capitol power plant; garage maintenance; and the occupation of Federal Office Building #8.

**Congressional Budget Office (CBO)**

CBO is a nonpartisan congressional agency created to provide objective economic and budgetary analysis to Congress. CBO cost estimates are required for any measure reported by a regular or conference committee that may vary revenues or expenditures.\textsuperscript{12}

CBO requested $44.6 million for FY2013, an $850,000 increase (1.9%) from the $43.8 million provided for FY2012.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of 6.4% from the FY2011 level of $46.8 million. CBO received $45.2 million for FY2010; $44.1 million was provided in the FY2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-8), and $2.0 million, to remain available through FY2010, was provided in the FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-32).

**Administrative Provisions**

CBO has requested two administrative provisions for FY2013. The requested provisions would

1. allow CBO’s appropriation to be used to pay the compensation of certain employees with non-immigrant visas, a provision also requested in FY2012, and

\textsuperscript{11} Under the Capitol Visitor Center Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-437), funding for the Capitol Guide Service was transferred to the Architect of the Capitol.

\textsuperscript{12} The Congressional Budget Office is required to use estimates provided by the Joint Committee on Taxation for all revenue legislation (Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, P.L. 99-177, §273, 99 Stat.1098, December 12, 1985; 2 U.S.C. §621 (et seq.)).
2. allow CBO to accept the use of voluntary student services as part of an agency program established for the purpose of providing educational experiences for the student.

**Highlights of the House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of CBO**

At the House hearing on February 7, 2012, the subcommittee discussed zero-based budgeting; CBO’s scorekeeping unit; proposed legislation which would require dynamic scoring; and proposals to move to biennial budgeting.

At a hearing on March 15, 2012, the Senate subcommittee discussed CBO’s staffing plan and resources, including deferred IT purchases and upgrades.

**Library of Congress (LOC)**

The Library of Congress serves simultaneously as Congress’s parliamentary library and the de facto national library of the United States. Its broader services to the nation include the acquisition, maintenance, and preservation of a collection of more than 147 million items\(^\text{13}\) in a wide range of traditional and new media; service to the general public and scholarly and library communities; administration of U.S. copyright laws by its Copyright Office; and administration of a national program to provide reading material to the blind and physically handicapped. Its direct services to Congress include the provision of legal research and law-related services by the Law Library of Congress, and a broad range of activities by the Congressional Research Service (CRS), including in-depth and nonpartisan public policy research, analysis, and legislative assistance for Members and committees and their staff; congressional staff training; information and statistics retrieval; and continuing legal education for Members of both chambers and congressional staff.

The Library requested $603.6 million for FY2013, an increase of $16.2 million (2.8%) from the FY2012 level of $587.3 million.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $41.3 million (-6.6%) from the FY2011 level of $628.7 million. The FY2011 level represented a decrease of $14.7 million (-2.3%) from the $643.3 million provided in FY2010. The FY2010 level represented an increase of 6.0% over the FY2009 level of $607.1 million,\(^\text{14}\) and the FY2009 level represented an increase of approximately 7.8% over the $563.0 million provided in the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act. These figures do not include additional authority to spend receipts.\(^\text{15}\)

The FY2013 budget contains the following headings:

- Salaries and expenses—The Library requested $423.7 million (not including $6.35 million in authority to spend receipts), an increase of $9.96 million (2.4%) from the FY2012 level of $413.7 million (not including $6.35 million in

---

\(^{13}\) Figure obtained from the *Annual Report of the Librarian of Congress for Fiscal Year 2010*, Library of Congress, Washington, DC, 2011. Available at [http://www.loc.gov/about/reports/](http://www.loc.gov/about/reports/).

\(^{14}\) This percentage is not adjusted for non-recurring costs, including the transfer of the Library of Congress Police to the Capitol Police.

\(^{15}\) An example of receipts are fees paid to the LOC for copyright registration.
authority to spend receipts). The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $18.0 million (-4.2%) from the FY2011 level of $431.8 million. The FY2011 level was an $8.0 million decrease (-1.8%) from the $439.8 million provided for FY2010.

- Copyright Office—The Library requested $19.2 million for the copyright office, an increase of $3.0 million (18.7%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided $16.1 million, a decrease of $1.7 million (-9.5%). The FY2011 level of $17.8 million was a decrease of $3.0 million (-14.6%) from the $20.9 million provided for FY2010. These levels do not include authority to spend receipts.

- Congressional Research Service—The FY2013 request includes $109.2 million for CRS, an increase of $2.4 million (2.3%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided $106.8 million, a decrease of $4.2 million (-3.8%) from the FY2011 level of $111.0 million. The FY2011 level was a decrease of $1.5 million (-1.3%) from the $112.5 million provided for FY2010.

- Books for the Blind and Physically Handicapped—The Library requested $51.5 million, an increase of $848,000 (1.7%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided $50.7 million, a decrease of $17.4 million (-25.5%) from the $68.0 million provided for FY2011. The FY2011 level was a decrease of $2.1 million (-3.0%) from the $70.2 million provided for FY2010.

The Architect’s budget also contains funds for the Library buildings and grounds. The FY2013 request includes $53.6 million, an increase of $6.7 million (14.3%). The FY2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act provided $46.9 million, an increase of $1.2 million (2.6%) from the $45.7 million provided for FY2011. The FY2011 level represented a 0.2% reduction (-$92,000) from the $45.8 million provided for Library buildings and grounds in FY2010.

Administrative Provisions

The Library requested two recurring administrative provisions related to authority to obligate funds for reimbursable and revolving fund activities and providing transfer authority.

Highlights of the House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of the Library of Congress

At a hearing on February 7, 2012, the House subcommittee examined zero-based budgeting efforts; inflationary costs; the Library’s Twitter archive; General Services Administration (GSA) rental costs; the Veterans’ Oral History Project and the Civil Rights Oral History Project; the copyright small claims court project; and the Library’s overseas operations, including the operation of the Cairo office during the “Arab Spring.”

At a hearing on March 1, 2012, the Senate subcommittee discussed the consequences of budget cuts in FY2011 and FY2012 and prioritization of the budget for FY2013; the buyout conducted in the first quarter of FY2012 and the departure of 186 staff; and the Library’s request for the Ft. Meade storage module.
Government Accountability Office (GAO)

GAO works for Congress by responding to requests for studies of federal government programs and expenditures. GAO may also initiate its own work.\(^{16}\) Formerly the General Accounting Office, the agency was renamed the Government Accountability Office effective July 7, 2004.

GAO requested $526.2 million for FY2013, an increase of $14.9 million (2.9%) over the $511.3 million provided in FY2012. The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $34.96 million (-6.4%) from the $546.3 million provided for FY2011. The FY2011 level was a decrease of $10.6 million (-1.9%) from the $556.9 million GAO received in FY2010. GAO received $531.0 million in the FY2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act and an additional $25.0 million in P.L. 111-5 to cover responsibilities under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. These levels do not include offsetting collections.\(^{17}\)

**Highlights of House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of the GAO**

At the House hearing on February 7, 2012, the subcommittee discussed issues including zero-based budgeting; prioritization of items in the FY2013 budget request; the buyout program conducted in 2011; the GAO student loan repayment program; prioritization of congressional requests; GAO’s reports on high-risk and duplicative programs; diversity; and GAO’s training program.

The Senate subcommittee met on March 15, 2012, and discussed GAO’s staffing requests; the potential of a consolidation of financial management systems; zero-based budgeting; and costs for audits related to the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP).

**Government Printing Office (GPO)\(^{18}\)**

GPO requested $126.2 million for FY2013, the same level provided in FY2012. This level represents a decrease of $8.9 million (-6.6%) from the $135.1 million provided for FY2011, which was a decrease of $12.4 million (-8.4%) from the $147.5 million for FY2010. The FY2010 level represented an increase of $6.9 million (4.9%) over the $140.6 million provided in the FY2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act. The FY2009 level represented an increase of $15.9 million (12.7%) over the $124.7 million provided in the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act.

GPO’s budget authority is contained in three accounts: (1) congressional printing and binding, (2) Office of Superintendent of Documents (salaries and expenses), and (3) the revolving fund.

- Congressional printing and binding—GPO requested $83.6 million, a decrease of $7.1 million (-7.8%) from the FY2012 level of $90.7 million. The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $2.9 million (-3.1%) from the $93.6 million provided for FY2011. The FY2010 appropriations act provided $93.8 million.


\(^{17}\) Offsetting collections include funds derived from reimbursable audits and rental of space in the GAO building.

\(^{18}\) For additional information on GPO, see CRS Report R40897, *Congressional Printing: Background and Issues for Congress*, by R. Eric Petersen and Amber Hope Wilhelm.
• Office of Superintendent of Documents (salaries and expenses)—GPO requested $34.7 million, a decrease of $272,000 (-0.8%) from the FY2012 level of $35.0 million. The FY2012 level was a decrease of $4.8 million (-12.1%) from the $39.8 million provided for FY2011. The FY2010 appropriations act provided $40.9 million.

• Revolving fund—The revolving fund supports the operation and maintenance of the Government Printing Office.19 GPO requested $7.8 million, an increase of $7.3 million from the FY2012 level of $500,000. Previously, the FY2011 act provided $1.66 million, and the FY2010 act provided $12.8 million for the revolving fund.

The congressional printing and binding account pays for expenses of printing and binding required for congressional use, and for statutorily authorized printing, binding, and distribution of government publications for specified recipients at no charge. Included within these publications are the Congressional Record; Congressional Directory; Senate and House Journals; memorial addresses of Members; nominations; U.S. Code and supplements; serial sets; publications printed without a document or report number, for example, laws and treaties; envelopes provided to Members of Congress for the mailing of documents; House and Senate business and committee calendars; bills, resolutions, and amendments; committee reports and prints; committee hearings; and other documents.

The Office of Superintendent of Documents account funds the mailing of government documents for Members of Congress and federal agencies, as statutorily authorized; the compilation of catalogs and indexes of government publications; and the cataloging, indexing, and distribution of government publications to the Federal Depository and International Exchange libraries, and to other individuals and entities, as authorized by law.

**Highlights of House and Senate Hearings on the FY2013 Budget of the Government Printing Office**

The House subcommittee met on February 7, 2012, to discuss GPO’s FY2013 budget request. Among the items addressed were a survey of congressional offices to determine preferred Congressional Record distribution levels, which reduced the number of copies by 400; the use of XML; diversity and training opportunities; and the future of printing in a digital era.

The Senate subcommittee held its FY2013 budget request hearing on March 15, 2012. The subcommittee discussed GPO’s experience with zero-based budgeting and its staffing level following the implementation of a buyout program in 2011.

**Office of Compliance**

The Office of Compliance is an independent and nonpartisan agency within the legislative branch. It was established to administer and enforce the Congressional Accountability Act, which

---

19 For additional information, see CRS Report R40939, *Legislative Branch Revolving Funds*, by Ida A. Brudnick and Jacob R. Straus.
was enacted in 1995.\(^{20}\) The act applies various employment and workplace safety laws to Congress and certain legislative branch entities.\(^{21}\)

The Office of Compliance requested $4.2 million, an increase of $389,000 (10.2%) from the $3.8 million provided in FY2012.

The FY2012 level represented a decrease of $260,000 (-6.4%) from the $4.08 million provided in P.L. 112-10 for FY2011. The FY2011 level represented a decrease of $300,000 (-6.9%) from the $4.4 million provided in FY2010. The FY2010 level represented an increase of 7.5% from the $4.1 million provided in the FY2009 Omnibus, which was an increase of 21.8% over the FY2008 level of $3.3 million.

**Highlights of the Senate Hearing on the FY2013 Budget of the Office of Compliance**

The Senate held a hearing on the FY2013 budget request on March 1, 2012. The subcommittee discussed consequences of reduced funding, including reduced staff and training, and collaboration with the Architect of the Capitol.

**Open World Leadership Center**

The Open World Leadership Center administers a program that supports democratic changes in other countries by inviting their leaders to observe democracy and free enterprise in the United States. The first program was authorized by Congress in 1999 to support the relationship between Russia and the United States. The program encouraged young federal and local Russian leaders to visit the United States and observe its government and society.

Established at the Library of Congress as the Center for Russian Leadership Development in 2000, the center was renamed the Open World Leadership Center in 2003, when the program was expanded to include specified additional countries.\(^{22}\) In 2004, Congress further extended the program’s eligibility to other countries designated by the center’s board of trustees, subject to congressional consideration.\(^{23}\) The center is housed in the Library and receives services from the Library through an inter-agency agreement.

---


\(^{21}\) Among the office’s activities are administration of a dispute resolution process, investigation and enforcement of occupational safety and health and disability provisions of the act, investigation of labor relations and enforcement of applicable provisions, and development of educational programs regarding the act’s provisions.


Open World requested $10.0 million for FY2013, the same as provided in FY2012, and $1.38 million (-12.1%) less than the $11.38 million provided in FY2011 (P.L. 112-10).

The FY2011 level represented a decrease of $623,000 (-5.2%) from the $12.00 million provided for FY2010, and the FY2010 level represented a decrease of $1.90 million (-13.7%) from the $13.90 million provided in the FY2009 Omnibus. The FY2009 level was a $4.92 million increase (54.8%) over the $8.98 million provided in FY2008, which was a decrease of $4.88 million (-35.2%) from the $13.86 million provided in FY2007 and FY2006.

Ongoing Discussion of Location of Open World

The location and future of Open World, as well as its inclusion in the legislative branch budget, have been a topic of discussion at appropriations hearings in recent fiscal years.

At the Senate FY2013 budget request hearing on March 1, 2012, the subcommittee discussed potential options for increasing private funding, including the hire of a development professional.

Previously, the House-passed FY2012 bill (H.R. 2551) would have provided $1.0 million, a decrease of 91.2% from the FY2011 level of $11.38 million. The House report stated, “The program has some strong champions on the Committee, but with reductions being made to most every program within the Federal budget the Committee has elected to shut down the program and recommends $1,000,000 for shutdown expenses.”24 The Senate-reported bill, in contrast, would have provided $10.0 million (-12.1% below the FY2011 level). The Senate report stated that “despite the fiscal constraints of the budget this program is necessary for the promotion of democratic principles in countries with historically oppressive rule.”25 The Consolidated Appropriations Act provides the Senate-reported level of $10.0 million.

Additionally, the FY2010 House Appropriations Committee report stated that “the Legislative Branch Subcommittee has been clear that it expects the Open World program to become financially independent of funding in this bill as soon as possible.”26 This sentiment was also expressed in the conference report, which stated,

The conferees are fully supportive of expanded efforts of the Open World Center to raise private funding and expect this effort to reduce the requirements for funding from the Legislative Branch appropriations bill in future years. The Committees look forward to a report of progress being made by the Center’s fundraising program prior to hearings on its fiscal year 2011 budget request.27

The location within the legislative branch was discussed during a hearing on the FY2009 budget. Ambassador John O’Keefe, the executive director of Open World, testified that the program may attract different participants if associated with the executive branch rather than the Library of

Congress, which may be seen as more neutral and nonpartisan. The FY2009 explanatory statement directed the Open World Leadership Center Board of Trustees to work with the State Department and the judiciary to establish a shared funding mechanism.

The subcommittees also had discussed this issue during the FY2008 appropriations cycle, and language was included in the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act requiring Open World to prepare a report by March 31, 2008, on “potential options for transfer of the Open World Leadership Center to a department or agency in the executive branch, establishment of the Center as an independent agency in the executive branch, or other appropriate options.” In 2004, GAO issued a report on the Open World program, examining program participation, purpose, and accountability.

**John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development**

The center was created by Congress in 1988 to encourage public service by congressional staff through training and development programs. The FY2013 request contains $430,000 for the Stennis Center, the same level provided in FY2012. Previously, P.L. 112-10 provided $429,140 for FY2011 (including the 0.2% rescission), and $430,000 was provided in FY2010 and FY2009.

The following tables provide additional information on the FY2012 enacted and FY2013 requested levels for the legislative branch overall, the Senate, the House of Representatives, the Capitol Police, and the Architect of the Capitol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Legislative Branch Appropriations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousands of dollars)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>868,592</td>
<td>910,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Representatives</td>
<td>1,225,680</td>
<td>1,225,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Items†</td>
<td>20,207</td>
<td>19,023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Police‡</td>
<td>340,137</td>
<td>373,769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


30 In H.R. 2771 (110th Cong.), the House-passed version of the FY2008 appropriations bill, the House Appropriations Committee recommended $6.0 million for Open World. The committee report stated that an additional $6.0 million would be provided for transfer to the program in the FY2008 State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs appropriation. The House-passed bill, which retained the committee-recommended funding level, also contained an administrative provision transferring the Open World Leadership Center to the Department of State effective October 1, 2008. The Senate-reported bill (S. 1686, 110th Cong.) would have provided $13.5 million in new budget authority for Open World.


Legislative Branch: FY2013 Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Compliance</td>
<td>3,817</td>
<td>4,206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressional Budget Office</td>
<td>43,787</td>
<td>44,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect of the Capitol</td>
<td>567,509</td>
<td>668,188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Congress, Including CRS</td>
<td>587,344</td>
<td>603,589</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressional Research Service, Lib. of Cong.</td>
<td>106,790</td>
<td>109,205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Printing Office</td>
<td>126,200</td>
<td>126,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Accountability Office</td>
<td>511,296</td>
<td>526,233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open World Leadership Center</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stennis Center for Public Service</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title II: General Provisions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Legislative Branch (Titles I and II)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,304,999</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,512,471</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBO Scorekeeping Adjustment</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Legislative Branch (Titles I and II)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,306,999</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,512,471</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.

Notes:

a. The FY2013 budget request contains the following under “Joint Items”: the Joint Economic Committee, the Joint Committee on Taxation, the Office of the Attending Physician, and the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services. The FY2012 enacted level includes these items as well as $1.237 million for the Joint Congressional Committee on Inaugural Ceremonies of 2013.

b. This account was effective with the FY2003 Legislative Branch Appropriation Act. Previously, Capitol Police funds were contained under the joint items account.

c. The center was named the Center for Russian Leadership Development prior to FY2004. Appropriations represent payments to the center’s trust fund.

Table 5. Senate Appropriations

(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payments—Heirs of Deceased Members of Congress</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense Allowances and Representation</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Officers, and Employees</td>
<td>175,762</td>
<td>182,067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Legislative Counsel</td>
<td>6,995</td>
<td>7,022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Legal Counsel</td>
<td>1,449</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense Allowances for Secretary of Senate, et al.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent Expenses (subtotal)</td>
<td>684,153</td>
<td>719,738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiries and Investigations</td>
<td>131,306</td>
<td>138,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Intl. Narcotics Caucus</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Legislative Branch: FY2013 Appropriations

#### Senate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of the Senatea</td>
<td>5,816</td>
<td>5,816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeant at Arms/Doorkeeperb</td>
<td>130,722</td>
<td>130,173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Items</td>
<td>19,360</td>
<td>19,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senators’ Official Personnel and Office Expense Account</td>
<td>396,180</td>
<td>425,569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official Mail Costs</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Senate</strong></td>
<td>868,592</td>
<td>910,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.

**Notes:**

a. Office operations of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate are also funded under “Salaries, Officers, and Employees.”

b. Office operations of the Office of Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper are also funded under “Salaries, Officers, and Employees.”

#### Table 6. House of Representatives Appropriations

(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Expenses, Total</td>
<td>1,225,680</td>
<td>1,225,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Leadership Offices</td>
<td>23,277</td>
<td>23,277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Leadership Offices (transition quarter)</td>
<td>5,818</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members’ Representational Allowance</td>
<td>573,939</td>
<td>573,939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee Employees (subtotal)</td>
<td>152,631</td>
<td>153,031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Committees, Special and Select, except Appropriations</td>
<td>125,965</td>
<td>126,365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriations Committee</td>
<td>26,666</td>
<td>26,666</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Officers, and Employees (subtotal)</td>
<td>177,628</td>
<td>174,912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Clerk</td>
<td>26,114</td>
<td>22,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Sergeant at Arms</td>
<td>12,585</td>
<td>13,828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Chief Administrative Officer</td>
<td>116,782</td>
<td>116,782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Inspector General</td>
<td>5,045</td>
<td>4,692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of General Counsel</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Chaplain</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Parliamentarian</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Legislative Branch: FY2013 Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Law Revision Counsel</td>
<td>3,258</td>
<td>3,258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Legislative Counsel</td>
<td>8,814</td>
<td>8,814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Interparliamentary Affairs</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>859</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Authorized Employees</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Historian</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowances and Expenses (subtotal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies, Materials, Administrative Costs and Federal Tort Claims</td>
<td>3,696</td>
<td>3,696</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official Mail for committees, leadership, administrative and legislative offices</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Contributions</td>
<td>264,848</td>
<td>270,905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Emergency Appropriations</td>
<td>17,112</td>
<td>17,112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Items</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Activities</td>
<td>1,722</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wounded Warrior Program</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Congressional Ethics</td>
<td>1,548</td>
<td>1,548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Representatives, Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,225,680</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,225,681</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.

### Table 7. Capitol Police Appropriations

(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, Capitol Police</td>
<td>277,133</td>
<td>303,132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Expenses</td>
<td>63,004</td>
<td>70,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Capitol Police</strong></td>
<td><strong>340,137</strong></td>
<td><strong>373,769</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.

### Table 8. Architect of the Capitol Appropriations

(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General administration</td>
<td>101,340</td>
<td>102,601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.
## Legislative Branch: FY2013 Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>FY2012 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2013 Request</th>
<th>House Reported</th>
<th>Senate Reported</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capitol building</td>
<td>36,154</td>
<td>97,072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol grounds</td>
<td>9,852</td>
<td>18,502</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate office buildings</td>
<td>71,128</td>
<td>79,392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Representatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House office buildings</td>
<td>94,154</td>
<td>83,964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Historic Buildings Revitalization Fund</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol power plant⁴</td>
<td>123,229</td>
<td>118,533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library buildings and grounds</td>
<td>46,876</td>
<td>53,594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Police buildings and grounds</td>
<td>21,500</td>
<td>30,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanic garden</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Visitor Center</td>
<td>21,276</td>
<td>21,588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Architect of the Capitol</strong></td>
<td><strong>567,509</strong></td>
<td><strong>668,188</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** H.Rept. 112-331 and the FY2013 U.S. Budget.

**Note:**

a. Not including offsetting collections.
# Appendix. Prior Fiscal Year Information and Resources

## Table A-1. Overview of Legislative Branch Appropriations: FY1996-FY2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>House</th>
<th>Senate</th>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
<th>Enactment Vehicle Title</th>
<th>CRS Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>House</td>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Enacted</td>
<td>Enactment Vehicle Title</td>
<td>CRS Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Congressional Research Service.
Selected Websites

These sites contain information on the FY2013 legislative branch appropriations requests and legislation, and the appropriations process:

House Committee on Appropriations
http://appropriations.house.gov/

Senate Committee on Appropriations
http://appropriations.senate.gov/

CRS Appropriations Products Guide
http://www.crs.gov/Pages/AppropriationsStatusTable.aspx?source=QuickLinks

Congressional Budget Office
http://www.cbo.gov

Government Accountability Office
http://www.gao.gov

Office of Management & Budget
http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/
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