# Congressional Apportionment 

## Census 2000 Brief

The Census 2000 apportionment population was $281,424,177$, as shown in Table 1. The apportionment population consists of the resident population of the 50 states plus overseas federal employees (military and civilian) and their dependents living with them, who were included in their home states. The population of the District of Columbia is excluded from the apportionment population. As required by the U.S. Supreme Court ruling (Department of Commerce v. House of Representatives, 525 U.S. 316,119 S. Ct. 765 (1999)), the apportionment population counts do not reflect the use of statistical sampling to adjust for overcounting or undercounting in the census.

This report, part of a series that analyzes population and housing data collected by Census 2000, examines trends in congressional apportionment and discusses the apportionment population - what it is, who is included, what method is used to calculate it, and so forth.

## Apportionment is a fundamental reason for the census.

One of the fundamental reasons for conducting the decennial census of population is to reapportion the U.S. House of Representatives. Apportionment is the process of dividing the 435 memberships or seats in the U.S. House of Representatives among the 50 states. An apportionment has been made on the basis of each decennial census from 1790 to 2000 , except following the 1920 census.


## The average size of a congressional

 district will rise.The number of representatives or seats in the U.S. House of Representatives has remained constant at 435 since 1911, except for a temporary increase to 437 at the time of admission of Alaska and Hawaii as states in 1959 (see Table 1). However, the apportionment based on the 1960 census, which took effect for the congressional election in 1962, reverted to 435 seats.

The average size of a congressional district based on the Census 2000 apportionment population will be 646,952 , more than triple the average district size of 193,167 based on the 1900 census apportionment, and about 74,486 more than the average size based on the 1990 census $(572,466)$. Of the seven states with one seat in Census 2000, the population of the largest - Montana - was 905,316 , compared with an average size of congressional district in the other

Table 1.
Apportionment Population Based on Census 2000 and Apportionment of U.S. House of Representatives: 1900 to 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/p/94-171.pdf)

| State | 2000 apportionment population ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Number of representatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Resident population | U.S. population overseas | 2000 | 1990 | 1980 | 1970 | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 | 1930 | $1920^{2}$ | 1910 | 1900 |
| Apportionment total | 281,424,177 | 280,849,847 | 574,330 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | ${ }^{3} 437$ | 435 | 435 | 435 | ${ }^{3} 435$ | ${ }^{3} 391$ |
| Alabama | 4,461,130 | 4,447,100 | 14,030 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Alaska | 628,933 | 626,932 | 2,001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Arizona | 5,140,683 | 5,130,632 | 10,051 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (X) |
| Arkansas | 2,679,733 | 2,673,400 | 6,333 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| California | 33,930,798 | 33,871,648 | 59,150 | 53 | 52 | 45 | 43 | 38 | 30 | 23 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 8 |
| Colorado | 4,311,882 | 4,301,261 | 10,621 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 3,409,535 | 3,405,565 | 3,970 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Delaware | 785,068 | 783,600 | 1,468 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida. | 16,028,890 | 15,982,378 | 46,512 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Georgia | 8,206,975 | 8,186,453 | 20,522 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Hawaii | 1,216,642 | 1,211,537 | 5,105 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Idaho | 1,297,274 | 1,293,953 | 3,321 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Illinois | 12,439,042 | 12,419,293 | 19,749 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 25 |
| Indiana. | 6,090,782 | 6,080,485 | 10,297 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| lowa. | 2,931,923 | 2,926,324 | 5,599 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Kansas | 2,693,824 | 2,688,418 | 5,406 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 4,049,431 | 4,041,769 | 7,662 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Louisiana. | 4,480,271 | 4,468,976 | 11,295 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Maine. | 1,277,731 | 1,274,923 | 2,808 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Maryland | 5,307,886 | 5,296,486 | 11,400 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Massachusetts. | 6,355,568 | 6,349,097 | 6,471 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| Michigan | 9,955,829 | 9,938,444 | 17,385 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| Minnesota | 4,925,670 | 4,919,479 | 6,191 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Mississippi . | 2,852,927 | 2,844,658 | 8,269 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Missouri. | 5,606,260 | 5,595,211 | 11,049 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Montana | 905,316 | 902,195 | 3,121 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Nebraska. | 1,715,369 | 1,711,263 | 4,106 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Nevada | 2,002,032 | 1,998,257 | 3,775 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| New Hampshire. | 1,238,415 | 1,235,786 | 2,629 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 8,424,354 | 8,414,350 | 10,004 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| New Mexico. | 1,823,821 | 1,819,046 | 4,775 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (X) |
| New York. | 19,004,973 | 18,976,457 | 28,516 | 29 | 31 | 34 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 37 |
| North Carolina | 8,067,673 | 8,049,313 | 18,360 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| North Dakota . | 643,756 | 642,200 | 1,556 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Ohio. | 11,374,540 | 11,353,140 | 21,400 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 21 |
| Oklahoma | 3,458,819 | 3,450,654 | 8,165 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| Oregon | 3,428,543 | 3,421,399 | 7,144 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania . | 12,300,670 | 12,281,054 | 19,616 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 32 |
| Rhode Island. | 1,049,662 | 1,048,319 | 1,343 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| South Carolina. | 4,025,061 | 4,012,012 | 13,049 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| South Dakota. | 756,874 | 754,844 | 2,030 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Tennessee. | 5,700,037 | 5,689,283 | 10,754 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Texas. | 20,903,994 | 20,851,820 | 52,174 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 16 |
| Utah. | 2,236,714 | 2,233,169 | 3,545 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Vermont. | 609,890 | 608,827 | 1,063 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Virginia | 7,100,702 | 7,078,515 | 22,187 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Washington | 5,908,684 | 5,894,121 | 14,563 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| West Virginia | 1,813,077 | 1,808,344 | 4,733 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 5,371,210 | 5,363,675 | 7,535 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 495,304 | 493,782 | 1,522 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

(X) Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Includes the resident population for the 50 states, as ascertained by Census 2000 under Title 13, U.S. Code, and counts of overseas U.S. military and federal civilian employees (and their dependents living with them) allocated to their home state, as reported by the employing federal agencies. The apportionment population does not include the resident or the overseas population of the District of Columbia.
${ }^{2}$ No reapportionment was made based on the 1920 census.
${ }^{3}$ Includes representatives assigned by Congress to newly admitted states after the apportionment act for that census: 1900, Oklahoma (five representatives); 1910, Arizona and New Mexico (one representative each); and 1950, Alaska and Hawaii (one representative each).

Note: As required by the U.S. Supreme Court ruling (Department of Commerce v. House of Representatives, 525 U.S. 316, 119 S. Ct. 765 (1999)), the Census 2000 apportionment population counts do not reflect the use of statistical sampling to adjust for overcounting or undercounting.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 and earlier censuses at www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/apportionment.html; and 1990 and earlier censuses also published in 1990 CPH-2-1, Population and Housing Unit Counts, United States, Table 3.

and 1990 census also published in 1990 CPH-2-1, Population and Housing Unit Counts, United States, Table 3.

43 states of 646,259 . Wyoming, also with one seat in Census 2000, had the smallest district size, with 495,304.

Twelve seats in the U.S. House of Representatives will shift from one state to another.

As a result of the apportionment based on Census 2000, 12 seats in the U.S. House of Representatives will shift among 18 states. Eight states will have more representatives in the 108th Congress, which convenes in January 2003, and ten states will have fewer representatives (see Figure 1 and Table 2).

Of the eight states gaining seats, four - Arizona, Florida, Georgia, and Texas - will each gain two seats. The other four - California, Colorado, Nevada, and North Carolina - will each gain one seat.

Of the ten states losing seats, two - New York and Pennsylvania will each lose two seats. The other eight - Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Wisconsin will each lose one seat.

## The 1990 census

 apportionment shifted 19 seats.Following the 1990 census, reapportionment shifted 19 seats among 21 states (see Table 2). The largest gains were in California (seven seats), Florida (four seats), and Texas (three seats). Five states gained one seat each: Arizona, Georgia, North Carolina, Virginia, and Washington.

The largest losses in seats after the 1990 census apportionment were in New York (three fewer seats); and in Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, and Pennsylvania (two fewer seats each). Eight states lost one seat each: Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, and West Virginia.

Table 2.
Change in the Number of U.S. Representatives by State: 1990 and 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/pl94-171.pdf)

| State | Seats <br> gained | State | Seats <br> lost |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |

BASED ON THE 1990 CENSUS

| Total gain in 8 states | 19 | Total loss in 13 states | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California. | 7 | New York. | 3 |
| Florida | 4 | Illinois | 2 |
| Texas | 3 | Michigan | 2 |
| Arizona | 1 | Ohio | 2 |
| Georgia | 1 | Pennsylvania. | 2 |
| North Carolina | 1 | lowa | 1 |
| Virginia. | 1 | Kansas. | 1 |
| Washington. | 1 | Kentucky | 1 |
|  |  | Louisiana. | 1 |
|  |  | Massachusetts | 1 |
|  |  | Montana. | 1 |
|  |  | New Jersey | 1 |
|  |  | West Virginia. . . . . . . . . . . | 1 |

BASED ON CENSUS 2000

| Total gain in 8 states | 12 | Total loss in 10 states | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 2 | New York. | 2 |
| Florida | 2 | Pennsylvania. | 2 |
| Georgia | 2 | Connecticut. | 1 |
| Texas . | 2 | Illinois . | 1 |
| California. | 1 | Indiana. | 1 |
| Colorado | 1 | Michigan | 1 |
| Nevada | 1 | Mississippi | 1 |
| North Carolina | 1 | Ohio | 1 |
|  |  | Oklahoma | 1 |
|  |  | Wisconsin | 1 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 and 1990 census at www.census.gov/ population/www/censusdata/apportionment.html; and 1990 census also published in 1990 CPH-2-1, Population and Housing Unit Counts, United States, Table 3.

## Shifts in congressional

 representation reflect regional trends in population.The regional patterns of change in congressional representation between 1990 and 2000 reflect the Nation's continuing shift in population from the Northeast and Midwest to the South and West.

Based on the Census 2000 apportionment, the net increase of five seats in the South reflected a gain of seven seats in four states and a loss of two seats, one each in Mississippi and Oklahoma (see Table 3). The West gained five seats and lost none; the Northeast
and Midwest each lost five seats and gained none.

Based on the 1990 census apportionment, the net increase of seven seats in the South reflected a gain of ten seats in five states and a loss of three seats, one each in Kentucky, Louisiana, and West Virginia. The net increase of eight seats in the West reflected a gain of nine seats in three states and a loss of one seat in Montana. The Northeast lost seven seats and gained none; the Midwest lost eight seats and gained none.

Figure 2 shows the percentage distribution of House seats or

Table 3.

## Change in the Number of U.S. Representatives by Region: 1990 and 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/p194-171.pdf)

| Region | Seats changed based on the 1990 census | Seats changed based on Census 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast (net) | -7 | -5 |
| Gained . | - | - |
| Lost. | 7 | 5 |
| Midwest (net). . | -8 | -5 |
| Gained | - | - |
| Lost. | 8 | 5 |
| South (net)..... . | 7 | 5 |
| Gained.. | 10 | 7 |
| Lost. | 3 | 2 |
| West (net). | 8 | 5 |
| Gained | 9 | 5 |
| Lost. | 1 | - |

- Represents zero.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 and 1990 census at www.census.gov/ population/www/censusdata/apportionment.html; and 1990 census also published in 1990 CPH-2-1, Population and Housing Unit Counts, United States, Table 3.

Figure 2.
Percentage Distribution of Seats in the U.S. House of Representatives by Region: 1900 to 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/p194-171.pdf)

memberships by region for each census in the 20th century. The West gradually increased its share of House seats in each decade of the last century, so that its 23 -percent share in 2000 was more than four times its 5 -percent share in 1900.

During the same time, the South's share of House seats, after a small initial fall between 1900 and 1910, held steady at 31 percent for seven decades, until rising to 35 percent by 2000 . The South continued to hold the largest share of House seats of any of the four regions in 2000, as it has since 1940.

Meanwhile, the Midwest, which accounted for the largest regional share of House seats from 1900 to 1920, showed a gradual decline in its share to 23 percent in 2000, the same as the West.

After holding 28 percent of the House seats from 1900 to 1940, the Northeast saw a drop to 19 percent by 2000, the smallest share of House seats of any of the four regions.

The Congress decides the method to calculate the apportionment.

Several apportionment methods have been used since the first census in 1790. The apportionment for Census 2000 was calculated using the method of equal proportions, in accordance with the provisions of Title 2, U.S. Code. This method has been used in every census since the 1940 census. First, each state is assigned one congressional seat, as provided by the Constitution. Then the apportionment formula allocates the remaining 385 congressional seats
one at a time among the 50 states until all 435 seats are assigned.

The goal of apportionment is to produce the most equitable distribution of congressional seats among the states. The method of equal proportions attains this by minimizing the percentage differences in the size of the congressional districts.

## ADDITIONAL TOPICS ON CONGRESSIONAL APPORTIONMENT

When are the apportionment population counts given to the President? To the Congress? To the states?

To the President. Title 13, U.S. Code, requires that the apportionment population counts for each state be delivered to the President within 9 months of Census Day, which was April 1, 2000. The Census 2000 counts were delivered to the President on December 28, 2000.

To the Congress. According to Title 2, U.S. Code, within 1 week of the opening of the next session of the Congress in the new year, the President must report to the Clerk of the U.S. House of Representatives the apportionment population counts for each state and the number of representatives to which each state is entitled.

To the States. Also according to Title 2, U.S. Code, within 15 days of receiving the apportionment population counts from the President, the Clerk of the House must inform each state governor of the number of representatives to which each state is entitled.

Were undocumented residents (aliens) in the 50 states included in the Census 2000 apportionment population counts?

Yes, all people (citizens and noncitizens) with a usual residence in one
of the 50 states were included in Census 2000 and thus in the apportionment counts.

Were children under 18 years old included in the Census 2000 apportionment population counts even though they cannot vote?

Yes, being old enough to vote, being registered to vote, or voting are not requirements for inclusion in the apportionment counts.

Did the Census 2000 apportionment population counts also include any Americans overseas?

Yes, as for the 1990 census, the Census 2000 apportionment counts included those overseas federal employees (military and civilian) and their dependents living with them that could be assigned to a home state. These data were provided to the Census Bureau by the employing federal departments and agencies from their administrative records. Private U.S. citizens living abroad who were not affiliated with the federal government (either as employees or their dependents) were not included in the overseas counts, which are used solely for reapportioning seats in the U.S. House of Representatives, not for redistricting (see question below).

What is the difference between apportionment and redistricting?

Population data from the decennial census provide the basis for both apportioning seats in the House of Representatives among the states and for redistricting this and other legislative bodies.

Apportionment is the process of determining the number of representatives to which each state is entitled in the U.S. House of Representatives based on the decennial census. By law, the apportionment results (the apportionment
population of each state) must be submitted to the President within 9 months of the census date.

Redistricting is the process of revising the geographic boundaries of areas from which people elect representatives to the U.S. House of Representatives, a state legislature, a county or city council, a school board, and so forth. By law, redistricting data must be submitted to the states within 1 year of the census date. The Census Bureau released the redistricting population data at the census block level on a state-by-state basis during March 2001.

## FOR MORE INFORMATION

Census 2000 data are available on the Internet via factfinder.census.gov and for purchase on CD-ROM and later on DVD. For more information on apportionment for both Census 2000 and the 1990 census, visit the U.S. Census Bureau's Internet site at www.census.gov/ population/www/censusdata/ apportionment.html.

Information on other population and housing topics is presented in the Census 2000 Brief series, located on the U.S. Census Bureau's Web site at www.census.gov/ population/www/cen2000/briefs.html. This series presents information about race, Hispanic origin, age, sex, household type, housing tenure, and other social, economic, and housing characteristics.

For more information about Census 2000, including data products, call our Customer Services Center at 301-763-INFO (4636) or e-mail webmaster@census.gov.
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