



President's Selection of a Supreme Court Nominee: The Nomination of Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson in Historical Context

March 8, 2022

This Insight provides historical information related to the selection of past Supreme Court nominees, as well as information about the recent selection of [Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson](#) to fill the anticipated vacancy created by [Justice Stephen Breyer's announcement](#) that he would retire at the end of the Court's current term (assuming his successor is nominated and confirmed by then). For additional information related to a President's selection of a Supreme Court nominee, such as the criteria used to select a Supreme Court nominee, see [CRS Report R44235](#). The information below is provided for comparative purposes and does not presume the outcome of Judge Jackson's nomination.

Days Elapsed Until a Nominee Was Announced

Figure 1 shows, for vacancies on the Supreme Court from 1975 to 2020, the number of days that elapsed between the date on which it was publicly known that a Justice was leaving the Court (due to retirement or death) and the date when a President publicly announced a nominee to replace the departing Justice. Because, during this period, a President's initial publicly announced choice to fill a vacancy was typically confirmed by the Senate, the figure shows only past vacancies on the Court for which multiple nominations were not required to fill the vacancy. For information about the three vacancies since 1975 that had multiple nominations prior to being filled (i.e., the vacancies created by the departures of Justices [Powell](#), [O'Connor](#), and [Scalia](#)), see [CRS Report R44235](#).

For the 13 vacancies included in **Figure 1** (excluding the anticipated Breyer vacancy), approximately 19 days, on average, elapsed between the date on which it was publicly known that a Justice was leaving the Court and the date on which the President publicly identified a nominee for the vacancy. For the same 13 vacancies, the median length of time between the two dates was 12 days.

Most recently, President Biden [announced](#) his intent to nominate Judge Jackson on February 25, 2022—29 days after Justice Breyer's [announcement](#) on January 27, 2022.

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN11878

Pocket Constitution



The Declaration of Independence
The Constitution of the United States
The Bill of Rights
Amendments XI–XXVII
Gettysburg Address



TheCapitolNet

TCNFPC.com

Figure 1. Number of Days from Vacancy Announcement of Departing Justice to President’s Public Announcement Identifying Nominee for Vacancy

(Jackson nomination compared to vacancies since 1975 that required only one nomination prior to being filled)

Departing Justice	Nominating President	Nominee	Number of Days Elapsed until Announcement of Intent to Nominate
▼ Current nomination			
Breyer	Biden	Jackson	29
▼ Nominated and confirmed			
Ginsburg	Trump	Barrett	8
Kennedy	Trump	Kavanaugh	12
Stevens	Obama	Kagan	31
Souter	Obama	Sotomayor	25
Rehnquist	Bush, G.W.	Roberts*	2
Blackmun	Clinton	Breyer	37
White	Clinton	Ginsburg	87
Marshall	Bush, G.H.W.	Thomas	4
Brennan	Bush, G.H.W.	Souter	3
Rehnquist**	Reagan	Scalia	0
Burger	Reagan	Rehnquist**	0
Stewart	Reagan	O’Connor	19
Douglas	Ford	Stevens	16

/// = Justices no longer serving on the Court

* John G. Roberts Jr. was initially nominated to the judgeship being vacated by Justice Sandra Day O’Connor. President G.W. Bush nominated Mr. Roberts **18 days** after Justice O’Connor submitted her retirement letter to the President. Following the death of Chief Justice William Rehnquist, the Roberts nomination was withdrawn by President Bush and Mr. Roberts was subsequently renominated by President Bush to replace Chief Justice Rehnquist. Mr. Roberts was renominated **2 days** after Chief Justice Rehnquist’s death.

** William Rehnquist, who was already serving on the Court as an Associate Justice, was nominated by President Reagan to serve as the new Chief Justice once Chief Justice Burger stepped down from the Court. Justice Rehnquist’s elevation to the Chief Justice position would itself create a vacancy for an Associate Justice, to which Mr. Scalia was nominated.

Source: Congressional Research Service.

Professional Position at Time of Nomination

Supreme Court nominees have typically distinguished themselves in the law as lower court judges, legal scholars, or private practitioners. Some have also served as Members of Congress, federal administrators, or governors. **Figure 2** shows, from 1945 to the present, the type of professional position or office held by an individual at the time of his or her nomination to the Supreme Court. So, for example, at the time of his nomination by President Truman in 1945, [Harold H. Burton](#) was serving as a U.S. Senator from Ohio.

Since 1945, the most common type of professional experience at the time of nomination to the Supreme Court has been service as a [federal appellate court](#) judge. Specifically, 26, or 65%, of 40 nominees were serving as a U.S. circuit court judge when nominated to the Court—including the most recent nominee, Judge Jackson.

The percentage of nominees serving as U.S. appellate court judges at the time of nomination is even greater during relatively more recent presidencies. From 1981 to the present, for example, 16 (or 84%) of 19 nominees were serving as U.S. circuit court judges at the time of nomination

Figure 2. Type of Professional Experience of U.S. Supreme Court Nominees at Time of Nomination (1945-Present)

Nominating President	Nominee	Year Nominated	Professional Experience at Time of Nomination					
			U.S. Circuit Court Judge	State Judge	Exec. Branch Official	Private Practice	Governor	Senator
Biden	Jackson	2022[^]	●	○	○	○	○	○
Trump	Barrett	2020	●	○	○	○	○	○
Trump	Kavanaugh	2018	●	○	○	○	○	○
Trump	Gorsuch	2017	●	○	○	○	○	○
Obama	Garland*	2016	●	○	○	○	○	○
Obama	Kagan	2010	○	○	●	○	○	○
Obama	Sotomayor	2009	●	○	○	○	○	○
Bush, G.W.	Alito	2005	●	○	○	○	○	○
Bush, G.W.	Miers*	2005	○	○	●	○	○	○
Bush, G.W.	Roberts	2005	●	○	○	○	○	○
Clinton	Breyer	1994	●	○	○	○	○	○
Clinton	Ginsburg	1993	●	○	○	○	○	○
Bush, G.H.W.	Thomas	1991	●	○	○	○	○	○
Bush, G.H.W.	Souter	1990	●	○	○	○	○	○
Reagan	Kennedy	1987	●	○	○	○	○	○
Reagan	Ginsburg**	1987	●	○	○	○	○	○
Reagan	Bork*	1987	●	○	○	○	○	○
Reagan	Scalia	1986	●	○	○	○	○	○
Reagan	O'Connor	1981	○	●	○	○	○	○
Ford	Stevens	1975	●	○	○	○	○	○
Nixon	Rehnquist	1971	○	○	●	○	○	○
Nixon	Powell	1971	○	○	○	●	○	○
Nixon	Blackmun	1970	●	○	○	○	○	○
Nixon	Carswell*	1970	●	○	○	○	○	○
Nixon	Haynsworth*	1969	●	○	○	○	○	○
Nixon	Burger	1969	●	○	○	○	○	○
Johnson	Thornberry*	1968	●	○	○	○	○	○
Johnson	Marshall	1967	○	○	●	○	○	○
Johnson	Fortas	1965	○	○	○	●	○	○
Kennedy	White	1962	○	○	●	○	○	○
Kennedy	Goldberg	1962	○	○	●	○	○	○
Eisenhower	Stewart***	1959	●	○	○	○	○	○
Eisenhower	Whittaker	1957	●	○	○	○	○	○
Eisenhower	Brennan***	1957	○	●	○	○	○	○
Eisenhower	Harlan	1955	●	○	○	○	○	○
Eisenhower	Warren***	1954	○	○	○	○	●	○
Truman	Minton	1949	●	○	○	○	○	○
Truman	Clark	1949	○	○	●	○	○	○
Truman	Vinson	1946	○	○	●	○	○	○
Truman	Burton	1945	○	○	○	○	○	●

[^] President Biden announced intent to nominate on February 25, 2022.
 * Nomination returned to or withdrawn by the President or rejected by the Senate.
 ** President announced intention to nominate but did not formally submit nomination to Senate.
 *** Received recess appointment to the Court during the preceding calendar year. The year listed is the year in which the nomination was approved by the Senate.

Source: Congressional Research Service.

Since 1945, the next most common type of professional experience at the time of nomination is service as an official in the [executive branch](#)—accounting for 8, or 20%, of 40 nominees. Overall, at least since 1945, it has been relatively rare for a nominee, at the time of his or her nomination, to be serving as a state judge, working as an attorney in private practice, or holding elective office—6, or 15%, of 40 nominees fall into one of these three categories.

Prior Judicial Experience

Figure 3 shows, for the nine current Justices on the Supreme Court, the number of years of prior federal judicial experience, if applicable, each had as a U.S. district and/or circuit court judge (as well as the total number of years of such experience considering both positions). The figure also shows this information for Judge Jackson.

Figure 3. Number of Years of Prior Federal Judicial Experience Prior to Appointment to the Supreme Court

Nominee	Year Nominated	Federal Judicial Experience, Years		Total Years <i>Rounded to nearest whole number</i>
		■ U.S. District Court Judge	■ U.S. Circuit Court Judge	
▼ <i>Current nomination</i>				
Jackson	2022	8.2	0.7	9 <i>As of 3/1/22</i>
▼ <i>Current justices</i>				
Barrett	2020		3.0	3
Kavanaugh	2018		12.4	12
Gorsuch	2017		10.7	11
Kagan	2010	n/a		0
Sotomayor	2009	6.2	10.8	17
Alito	2006		15.8	16
Roberts	2005	2.3		2
Breyer	1994		13.7	14
Thomas	1991	1.6		2

Source: Congressional Research Service.

For the nine current Justices, the average length of prior federal judicial experience at the time of appointment to the Court was approximately 9 years (with a median of 11 years). Additionally, of the nine, one, [Justice Kagan](#) did not serve as a lower federal court judge prior to being appointed to the Court. Of the eight Justices with prior federal judicial experience, [Justice Thomas](#) served the fewest number of years, whereas Justice Sotomayor served the greatest number. At present, [Justice Sotomayor](#) is also the only Justice with prior experience as both a circuit and district court judge.

Judge Jackson, as of March 1, 2022, has nearly nine years of prior federal judicial experience—which is more total years of prior federal judicial experience than four current members of the Court and fewer total years of such experience than five current members. Most of her prior federal judicial experience has been as a district court judge (which was also the case with several past Justices no longer on the Court, e.g., [Justice Whittaker](#)). If confirmed, she would also be one of two members of the current Court with experience as both a circuit and district court judge.

Author Information

Barry J. McMillion
Analyst in American National Government

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Learn how Capitol Hill really works

All of our programs and any combination of their topics can be tailored for custom training for your organization.

For more than 40 years, TheCapitol.Net and its predecessor, Congressional Quarterly Executive Conferences, have been teaching professionals from government, military, business, and NGOs about the dynamics and operations of the legislative and executive branches and how to work with them.

Our training, on-site and online, and publications include congressional operations, legislative and budget process, communication and advocacy, media and public relations, research, testifying before Congress, legislative drafting, critical thinking and writing, and more.

- **Diverse Client Base**—We have tailored hundreds of custom on-site and online training programs for Congress, numerous agencies in all federal departments, the military, law firms, lobbying firms, unions, think tanks and NGOs, foreign delegations, associations and corporations, delivering exceptional insight into how Washington works.™
- **Experienced Program Design and Delivery**—We have designed and delivered hundreds of custom programs covering congressional/legislative operations, budget process, media training, writing skills, legislative drafting, advocacy, research, testifying before Congress, grassroots, and more.
- **Professional Materials**—We provide training materials and publications that show how Washington works. Our publications are designed both as course materials and as invaluable reference tools.
- **Large Team of Experienced Faculty**—More than 150 faculty members provide independent subject matter expertise. Each program is designed using the best faculty member for each session.
- **Non-Partisan**—TheCapitol.Net is non-partisan.
- **GSA Schedule**—TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training: GSA Contract GS02F0192X.

Please see our Capability Statement on our web site at TCNCS.com.

Custom training programs are designed to meet your educational and training goals, each led by independent subject-matter experts best qualified to help you reach your educational objectives and align with your audience.

As part of your custom program, we can also provide online venue, classroom space, breaks and meals, receptions, tours, and online registration and individual attendee billing services.

For more information about custom on-site training for your organization, please see our web site: TCNCustom.com or call us: 202-678-1600, ext 115.



Non-partisan training and publications that show how Washington works.™

PO Box 25706, Alexandria, VA 22313-5706
202-678-1600 • www.thecapitol.net



TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training.
GSA Contract GS02F0192X

