



Roll Call Votes to Confirm Supreme Court Nominations: Historical Analysis of Support and Opposition (1900-2020)

April 1, 2022

This Insight provides analysis of the roll call votes used to confirm Supreme Court nominees from 1900 through 2020. Specifically, the Insight examines historical patterns of partisan support and opposition among Senators in casting votes on whether to confirm nominees to the Court. For additional information about the final stage of the confirmation process, see [CRS Report R44234, *Supreme Court Appointment Process: Senate Debate and Confirmation Vote*](#).

A roll call vote to confirm a nominee requires a simple majority of Senators present and voting, a quorum being present. Overall, from 1900 to 2020, there were 38 Supreme Court nominations that received a roll call vote on whether to confirm a nominee to the Court. Since 1967 (starting with the [confirmation vote for Thurgood Marshall](#)), each of the 24 Senate votes on whether to confirm a nominee has been by roll call vote. By contrast, from 1900 to 1966, 14 (or 33%) of the 42 votes on whether to confirm nominees to the Court were by roll call vote, whereas 28 (67%) were by voice vote or unanimous consent.

During this period there was notable variation in how Democratic and Republican Senators voted on nominations to the Court. **Figure 1** shows, for 37 of the 38 Supreme Court nominations that received a confirmation vote from 1900 to 2020, the percentage of Republican and Democratic Senators voting in support of, or in opposition to, the nomination (and whether more than half of Senators belonging to one party voted differently on the nomination than more than half of Senators belonging to the other party).

Information for one of the nominations that received a roll call vote during this period is incomplete and, consequently, is not included in **Figure 1** (see the note at the bottom of the figure about the [Taft nomination](#)).

Nominees Supported by a More than Half of Senators in Each Political Party

Of the 37 nominations included in **Figure 1**, 19 (51%) were supported by more than half of Senators in each political party (excluding the [Roberts nomination](#) in 2005, which was supported by half of the Democratic Senators voting on his nomination and also opposed by half of the party's Senators). The

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN11905

Pocket Constitution



The Declaration of Independence
The Constitution of the United States
The Bill of Rights
Amendments XI–XXVII
Gettysburg Address



TheCapitolNet

TCNFPC.com

most recent nomination that received support from more than half of Senators belonging to both parties was that of [Stephen Breyer](#) in 1994 (with 79% of Senate Republicans voting to confirm him).

Even when a nominee is supported by more than half of Senators from both parties, it has been historically rare, at least since 1900, for a nominee to be confirmed without receiving any “no” votes. Of the 19 nominees whose nominations were supported by more than half of Senators from both parties, 5 (26%) were supported unanimously without receiving any “no” votes at the time of confirmation. These five nominees were [Anthony Kennedy](#) (the most recent to have unanimous support), [Antonin Scalia](#), [Sandra Day O’Connor](#), [John Paul Stevens](#), and [Harry Blackmun](#). Each of the five was nominated by a Republican President.

Nominees Supported by More than Half of Senators in One Party and Opposed by More than Half in the Other Party

Of the 37 nominations included in **Figure 1**, 17 (46%) were supported by more than half of Senators in one party and opposed by more than half of Senators in the other party. The most recent instance of this occurring was the vote to confirm [Amy Coney Barrett](#) in 2020 (when, for the first time since at least 1900, there were no crossover votes in support of a nomination from Senators not belonging to the nominating President’s political party).

The recent era of confirming nominees to the Supreme Court has been characterized by this particular type of partisan split in support of, and opposition to, nominees (i.e., with more than half of Senators belonging to one political party having voted to confirm a nominee and more than half of Senators belonging to the other party having voted against confirmation). As shown by **Figure 1**, the six most recent nominations to the Court (from 2006 to 2020) reflect this type of partisan split. These six nominations represent 16% of the 37 nominations included in the figure but also represent 35% of the 17 instances from 1900 to 2020 when more than half of Senators of one political party voted differently on a nomination than more than half of Senators in the other party.

Opposition to Nominees by Senators Belonging to a President’s Political Party

Of the 37 nominations included in **Figure 1**, 20 (54%) received at least one “no” vote from a Senator belonging to the same political party as the nominating President. Such opposition, though, has been less common since the 1970s. Specifically, of the 21 nominations to receive a confirmation vote from 1970 through 2020, 8 (38%) received at least one “no” vote from a Senator belonging to the same party as the nominating President.

Overall, of the 776 “no” votes cast by Democratic and Republican Senators in opposition to confirming Supreme Court nominees from 1900 to 2020, 106 (14%) were cast by Senators belonging to the same political party as the nominating President.

Figure I. Partisan Support and Opposition to Supreme Court Nominations (1900-2020)

NOMINEE	FINAL VOTE TO CONFIRM		HOW REPUBLICAN AND DEMOCRAT SENATORS* VOTED						> 50% of one party voted differently fr. the other**
			Republican Senators		President's Party	Democrat Senators			
			No	Yes		Yes	No		
Barrett, A.C.	52-48	2020	2%	98%	R		100%	//	
Kavanaugh, B.	50-48	2018		100%	R	2%	98%	//	
Gorsuch, N.	54-45	2017		100%	R	7%	93%	//	
Kagan, E.	63-37	2010	88%	12%	D	98%	2%	//	
Sotomayor, S.	68-31	2009	78%	23%	D	100%		//	
Alito, S., Jr.	58-42	2006	2%	98%	R	9%	91%	//	
Roberts, J., Jr. ^	78-22	2005		100%	R	50%	50%		
Breyer, S.	87-9	1994	21%	79%	D	100%			
Ginsburg, R.B.	96-3	1993	7%	93%	D	100%			
Thomas, C.	52-48	1991	5%	95%	R	19%	81%	//	
Souter, D.	90-9	1990		100%	R	84%	16%		
Kennedy, A.	97-0	1988		100%	R	100%			
Bork, R.	42-58	1987	13%	87%	R	4%	96%	//	
Scalia, A.	98-0	1986		100%	R	100%			
Rehnquist, W. ^	65-33	1986	4%	96%	R	34%	66%	//	
O'Connor, S.D.	99-0	1981		100%	R	100%			
Stevens, J.P.	98-0	1975		100%	R	100%			
Rehnquist, W.	68-26	1971	8%	93%	R	56%	44%		
Powell, L., Jr.	89-1	1971		100%	R	98%	2%		
Blackmun, H.	94-0	1970		100%	R	100%			
Carswell, G. H.	45-51	1970	32%	68%	R	31%	69%	//	
Haynsworth, C., Jr.	45-55	1969	40%	60%	R	33%	67%	//	
Burger, W. ^	74-3	1969		100%	R	93%	7%		
Marshall, T.	69-11	1967	3%	97%	D	79%	21%		
Stewart, P.	70-17	1959		100%	R	71%	29%		
Harlan, J.	71-11	1955	5%	95%	R	78%	22%		
Minton, S.	48-16	1949	54%	46%	D	95%	5%	//	
Clark, T.	73-8	1949	23%	77%	D	100%			
Douglas, W.	62-4	1939	31%	69%	D	100%			
Black, H.	63-16	1937	77%	23%	D	90%	10%	//	
Parker, J.	39-41	1930	37%	63%	R	30%	70%	//	
Hughes, C. ^	52-26	1930	22%	78%	R	48%	52%	//	
Stone, H.	71-6	1925	4%	96%	R	93%	7%		
Butler, P.	61-8	1922	7%	93%	R	81%	19%		
Brandeis, L.	47-22	1916	88%	13%	D	98%	2%	//	
McReynolds, J.	44-6	1914	25%	75%	D	97%	3%		
Pitney, M.	50-26	1912	12%	88%	R	40%	60%	//	

* Of Senators who voted on the nomination and who were elected as Democrats or Republicans. Figure does not include Senators elected as Independents or those belonging to other political parties.

** More than half of the Senators of one party voted differently than more than half of the Senators of the other party.

^ Nominated to Chief Justice position.

The nomination of William Taft to be Chief Justice in 1921 was confirmed by a roll call vote of 60-4. The nomination reportedly received 'no' votes from three Republican Senators and one Democratic Senator. The partisan breakdown of the 'yes' votes is not available based on a review of historical congressional records and contemporary news accounts at the time. Consequently, the Taft nomination is not included in the figure.

Source: Review of historical congressional material by the Congressional Research Service.

Support of Nominees by Senators Not Belonging to a President’s Political Party

Of the 37 nominations included in **Figure 1**, 36 (97%) received at least one “yes” vote from a Senator not belonging to the same political party as the nominating President.

Overall, of the 2,466 “yes” votes cast by Democratic and Republican Senators to confirm Supreme Court nominees from 1900 to 2020, 859 (35%) were cast by Senators who did not belong to the same political party as the nominating President.

Author Information

Barry J. McMillion
Analyst in American National Government

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS’s institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Learn how Capitol Hill really works

All of our programs and any combination of their topics can be tailored for custom training for your organization.

For more than 40 years, TheCapitol.Net and its predecessor, Congressional Quarterly Executive Conferences, have been teaching professionals from government, military, business, and NGOs about the dynamics and operations of the legislative and executive branches and how to work with them.

Our training, on-site and online, and publications include congressional operations, legislative and budget process, communication and advocacy, media and public relations, research, testifying before Congress, legislative drafting, critical thinking and writing, and more.

- **Diverse Client Base**—We have tailored hundreds of custom on-site and online training programs for Congress, numerous agencies in all federal departments, the military, law firms, lobbying firms, unions, think tanks and NGOs, foreign delegations, associations and corporations, delivering exceptional insight into how Washington works.™
- **Experienced Program Design and Delivery**—We have designed and delivered hundreds of custom programs covering congressional/legislative operations, budget process, media training, writing skills, legislative drafting, advocacy, research, testifying before Congress, grassroots, and more.
- **Professional Materials**—We provide training materials and publications that show how Washington works. Our publications are designed both as course materials and as invaluable reference tools.
- **Large Team of Experienced Faculty**—More than 150 faculty members provide independent subject matter expertise. Each program is designed using the best faculty member for each session.
- **Non-Partisan**—TheCapitol.Net is non-partisan.
- **GSA Schedule**—TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training: GSA Contract GS02F0192X.

Please see our Capability Statement on our web site at TCNCS.com.

Custom training programs are designed to meet your educational and training goals, each led by independent subject-matter experts best qualified to help you reach your educational objectives and align with your audience.

As part of your custom program, we can also provide online venue, classroom space, breaks and meals, receptions, tours, and online registration and individual attendee billing services.

For more information about custom on-site training for your organization, please see our web site: TCNCustom.com or call us: 202-678-1600, ext 115.



Non-partisan training and publications that show how Washington works.™

PO Box 25706, Alexandria, VA 22313-5706
202-678-1600 • www.thecapitol.net



TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training.
GSA Contract GS02F0192X

