

Resolutions of Inquiry in the House

Updated July 21, 2022

On July 19, 2022, the House agreed to H.Res. 1232, a special rule reported by the House Committee on Rules. The adoption of the resolution means that temporary procedures previously in place during the 117th Congress (2021-2022) that "paused" certain deadlines for committee action on resolutions of inquiry are no longer in force. Any resolution of inquiry submitted after the adoption of H.Res. 1232 are to be governed by the standing rules of the House, a development that could result in more such resolutions being introduced and referred to committee for consideration.

A resolution of inquiry is a simple House resolution (H.Res.) making a direct request or demand of the President or the head of an executive department to provide specific factual information in the Administration's possession.

Under clause 7 of House Rule XIII, such resolutions, if properly drafted, are given a special parliamentary status. If the committee to which such a resolution is referred has not reported the measure back to the House within 14 legislative days after its introduction, a privileged and non-debatable motion to discharge the committee of further consideration of the resolution becomes available on the chamber floor. If the House agrees to such a privileged motion to discharge, the resolution of inquiry would be taken out of committee and become available for consideration on the House floor.

If the committee of referral reports a resolution of inquiry within the 14-day time frame, however—regardless of whether the report is favorable, adverse, or made without recommendation—the privileged resolution can be called up on the floor only by a Member who has been authorized by the reporting committee to do so. In other words, by reporting a resolution of inquiry, a committee can preclude the privileged motion to discharge and preserve to itself the decision of whether to call up the measure on the floor. As such, in recent practice, a House committee will virtually always mark up and report a resolution of inquiry that has been referred to it—even one it opposes—in order to retain control of the measure and prevent supporters from triggering floor votes on questions related to considering it.

While resolutions of inquiry have been used since the earliest Congresses to seek information from the executive branch, the basic form of the present House rule was adopted in 1879. The rule was last amended in 1983 to lengthen the time period for a committee to report from one week to 14 legislative days. On occasion, when the House has expected to hold *pro forma* sessions for extended periods of time (for example, during the traditional August or December recess), it has adopted an order dictating that those *pro forma* days do not count against the 14-day period the committee has to report a resolution of inquiry. As noted above, until July 19, 2022, the 117th Congress operated under similar temporary procedures (pursuant to several special rules reported by the Rules Committee and adopted by the House) that effectively "turned off" the 14-day deadline.

In order to be privileged, a House resolution of inquiry:



The House of Representatives and Senate Explained

Congressional Procedure

A Practical Guide to the Legislative Process in the U.S. Congress

Richard A. Arenberg

Foreword by Alan S. Frumin



- must be directed to the President or the head of an executive department (not to a subordinate official such as the IRS commissioner or the director of the CIA),
- must not have a preamble,
- must request facts in the possession of the official, and
- may not require the official to express an opinion or undertake an investigation.

The House Parliamentarian has summarized relevant chamber rules and precedents related to resolutions of inquiry and provided citations to additional reading on the subject.

Unlike subpoenas or statutes, resolutions of inquiry do not have legal force, nor is there any direct enforcement mechanism. Any response by the executive branch to such a request is voluntary. Available data examined by CRS suggest that over several recent decades, at least 30% of the time, a resolution of inquiry has resulted in the production of some information to the House. In the majority of cases, however, it is simply unknown, unclear, or in dispute whether the resolution of inquiry produced any of the information requested.

Between 1947 and the present, over 300 resolutions of inquiry have been introduced in the House. The information most commonly sought has related to defense, foreign relations, and intelligence. Two periods in particular—1971-1975 and 2003-2006—saw the highest levels of activity during the post-war period. Although Representatives of both political parties have used resolutions of inquiry, in recent Congresses, such resolutions have overwhelmingly been submitted by minority party Representatives seeking information from a President of the opposite political party.

Some have charged that minority party Members have in recent Congresses used the privileged status such resolutions enjoy as a way to "force" committees to act on a given subject or get Members to record votes on politically controversial policy questions. Those holding this view argue that resolutions of inquiry, in essence, enable the minority party to "schedule" a committee markup on a subject of its choosing. Others have argued that resolutions of inquiry have increased in frequency over specific recent Congresses because the executive branch has often responded to information requests from Congress grudgingly, if at all. Those holding this view have argued that this has forced minority party Members to repeatedly turn to one of the few oversight tools at their disposal.

Since 1947, most of the resolutions of inquiry reported by the House committee to which they were referred were reported adversely, indicating that the committee opposed floor action on the resolution. The stated reasons for this opposition have included that the resolution had been made moot by the executive branch complying in whole or in part with the request or because such a request would, in the view of the committee, compromise an ongoing investigation, endanger sensitive information, or seek readily available facts. Less than a quarter of the resolutions of inquiry introduced since 1947 reached the House floor, the last one in 1995.

Author Information

Christopher M. Davis Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Learn how Capitol Hill really works

All of our programs and any combination of their topics can be tailored for custom training for your organization.

For more than 40 years, TheCapitol.Net and its predecessor, Congressional Quarterly Executive Conferences, have been teaching professionals from government, military, business, and NGOs about the dynamics and operations of the legislative and executive branches and how to work with them.

Our training, on-site and online, and publications include congressional operations, legislative and budget process, communication and advocacy, media and public relations, research, testifying before Congress, legislative drafting, critical thinking and writing, and more.

- **Diverse Client Base**—We have tailored hundreds of custom on-site and online training programs for Congress, numerous agencies in all federal departments, the military, law firms, lobbying firms, unions, think tanks and NGOs, foreign delegations, associations and corporations, delivering exceptional insight into how Washington works.™
- Experienced Program Design and Delivery—We have designed and delivered hundreds of custom programs covering congressional/legislative operations, budget process, media training, writing skills, legislative drafting, advocacy, research, testifying before Congress, grassroots, and more.
- **Professional Materials**—We provide training materials and publications that show how Washington works. Our publications are designed both as course materials and as invaluable reference tools.
- Large Team of Experienced Faculty—More than 150 faculty members provide independent subject matter expertise. Each program is designed using the best faculty member for each session.
- Non-Partisan—TheCapitol.Net is non-partisan.
- **GSA Schedule**—TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training: GSA Contract GS02F0192X.

Please see our Capability Statement on our web site at **TCNCS.com**.

Custom training programs are designed to meet your educational and training goals, each led by independent subject-matter experts best qualified to help you reach your educational objectives and align with your audience.

As part of your custom program, we can also provide online venue, classroom space, breaks and meals, receptions, tours, and online registration and individual attendee billing services.

For more information about custom on-site training for your organization, please see our web site: **TCNCustom.com** or call us: 202-678-1600, ext 115.



Non-partisan training and publications that show how Washington works.™
PO Box 25706, Alexandria, VA 22313-5706
202-678-1600 • www.thecapitol.net



TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training.
GSA Contract GS02F0192X











