

The Right to a Jury Trial in Civil Cases Part 1: Introduction and Historical Background

December 22, 2022

This Legal Sidebar is the first in a five-part series that discusses a unique feature of the American legal system—the constitutional right to a jury trial in federal civil cases at law. During the Constitution’s ratification, the Anti-Federalist, known by the pseudonym the “Federal Farmer,” [argued](#) that the Constitution should expressly provide a right to civil jury trials because “the well born,” who would comprise the judiciary, “are generally disposed, and very naturally too, to favour those of their own description.” Included as part of the Bill of Rights, the right to civil jury trials, according to a [2020 study](#), is seen by many judges, as well as plaintiff and defense attorneys, as providing a fairer way to resolve lawsuits than bench trials or arbitration. The use of jury trials to resolve civil cases, however, [decreased](#) from 5.5% in 1962 to less than 1% in 2013, with [some attributing](#) this to damage caps and mandatory binding arbitration. Members of Congress interested in civil litigation or federal court operations may find the constitutional right to jury trials in civil cases of interest. (For additional background on this topic and citations to relevant sources, see the *Constitution of the United States of America, Analysis and Interpretation*.)

The [Seventh Amendment](#) guarantees a jury trial in civil cases seeking monetary damages in federal court and limits the circumstances under which courts may overturn a jury’s findings of fact. The [Seventh Amendment](#) provides as follows: “In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.”

Although the right to a jury trial in civil cases at law is rooted in English common law and was important during the colonial era, it initially was omitted from the [Constitution](#). The First Congress, however, ultimately adopted the right as one of the [Bill of Rights](#), which became effective in 1791. Since then, the [Supreme Court](#) has interpreted the phrase “Suits at common law” under the Seventh Amendment as preserving the right of trial by jury in civil cases as it “existed under the English common law when the amendment was adopted.” This means that the Seventh Amendment does *not* guarantee a [trial by jury](#) in cases under admiralty and maritime law and in other proceedings historically tried by a court instead of a jury, nor does it reach statutory proceedings unknown to the common law concerning the [enforcement of statutory “public rights”](#) created by Congress.

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

LSB10883

Pocket Constitution



The Declaration of Independence
The Constitution of the United States
The Bill of Rights
Amendments XI–XXVII
Gettysburg Address



TheCapitol!Net

TCNFPC.com

While the Seventh Amendment traces its roots to English common law, some historians trace the origin of the English jury as far back as Ancient Greece. Sir William Blackstone, in his influential treatise on English common law titled *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, called the right “the glory of the English law” and necessary for “[t]he impartial administration of justice,” which, if “entirely entrusted to the magistracy, a select body of men,” would be subject “frequently [to] an involuntary bias towards those of their own rank and dignity.”

From England, the colonists brought the right to a jury trial across the Atlantic. The civil jury played an important role during the colonial era. The colonies stoutly resisted the King of England’s efforts to diminish this right, and the [Declaration of Independence](#) identified the denial of “the benefits of trial by jury” as one of the grievances that led to the American Revolution. Despite this right’s prominence in Colonial America, however, a right to a civil jury trial was not included in the original draft of the Constitution.

[Records of the Philadelphia Convention](#) show that the delegates twice raised the issue of whether the Constitution should include a right to a jury trial. On September 12, 1787, toward the end of the Convention, Hugh Williamson of North Carolina “[observed](#) to the House that no provision was yet made for juries in Civil cases and suggested the necessity of it.” Some delegates expressed support for such a provision but observed that the diversity of state courts’ practices in civil trials made it impossible to draft a suitable provision. This latter concern appears to have served as the basis for defeating a [motion](#), brought by another delegate on September 15, 1787, to insert a [clause in Article III, § 2](#), to guarantee that “a trial by jury shall be preserved as usual in civil cases.”

After the Convention, many opponents of the Constitution’s ratification cited the omission of a right to a jury trial with such “[urgency](#) and zeal” that they almost prevented the states from ratifying the Constitution. Some opponents of the Constitution claimed that the absence of a provision requiring civil jury trials in a Constitution that mandated [jury trials in criminal cases](#) implied that the use of a jury was abolished in civil cases. In the *Federalist Papers*, Alexander Hamilton refuted this assertion, expressing the view that the Constitution’s silence on civil jury trials merely meant “that the institution [would] remain precisely in the same situation in which it is placed by the State constitutions.”

In ratifying the Constitution, [several states](#) urged Congress to provide a right to a jury in civil cases as one of the amendments. The right was included in the list of amendments James Madison proposed to the First Congress, which adopted the right as one of the Bill of Rights. It does not appear that the proposed amendment’s text or meaning was debated during its passage. The Seventh Amendment became effective as part of the Bill of Rights in 1791.

This Legal Sidebar series covers the right to a jury trial in civil cases at law. Part 2 discusses the meaning of the Seventh Amendment qualifying language “Suits at common law” and the identification of civil cases to which the right applies. Parts 3 and 4 examine the distinction between legal and equitable claims, the treatment of cases that combine elements of both, and the roles of the judge and jury in civil cases. Part 5 concludes with a discussion of the bar on judges from reexamining a jury’s factual findings.

Author Information

Wen W. Shen
Legislative Attorney

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Learn how Capitol Hill really works

All of our programs and any combination of their topics can be tailored for custom training for your organization.

For more than 40 years, TheCapitol.Net and its predecessor, Congressional Quarterly Executive Conferences, have been teaching professionals from government, military, business, and NGOs about the dynamics and operations of the legislative and executive branches and how to work with them.

Our training, on-site and online, and publications include congressional operations, legislative and budget process, communication and advocacy, media and public relations, research, testifying before Congress, legislative drafting, critical thinking and writing, and more.

- **Diverse Client Base**—We have tailored hundreds of custom on-site and online training programs for Congress, numerous agencies in all federal departments, the military, law firms, lobbying firms, unions, think tanks and NGOs, foreign delegations, associations and corporations, delivering exceptional insight into how Washington works.™
- **Experienced Program Design and Delivery**—We have designed and delivered hundreds of custom programs covering congressional/legislative operations, budget process, media training, writing skills, legislative drafting, advocacy, research, testifying before Congress, grassroots, and more.
- **Professional Materials**—We provide training materials and publications that show how Washington works. Our publications are designed both as course materials and as invaluable reference tools.
- **Large Team of Experienced Faculty**—More than 150 faculty members provide independent subject matter expertise. Each program is designed using the best faculty member for each session.
- **Non-Partisan**—TheCapitol.Net is non-partisan.
- **GSA Schedule**—TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training: GSA Contract GS02F0192X.

Please see our Capability Statement on our web site at TCNCS.com.

Custom training programs are designed to meet your educational and training goals, each led by independent subject-matter experts best qualified to help you reach your educational objectives and align with your audience.

As part of your custom program, we can also provide online venue, classroom space, breaks and meals, receptions, tours, and online registration and individual attendee billing services.

For more information about custom on-site training for your organization, please see our web site: TCNCustom.com or call us: 202-678-1600, ext 115.



Non-partisan training and publications that show how Washington works.™

PO Box 25706, Alexandria, VA 22313-5706
202-678-1600 • www.thecapitol.net



TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training.
GSA Contract GS02F0192X

