



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Speakers of the House: Elections, 1913-2023

Updated September 14, 2023

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

RL30857



The House of Representatives and Senate Explained

Congressional Procedure

A Practical Guide to the Legislative Process in the U.S. Congress

Richard A. Arenberg

Foreword by Alan S. Frumin

 **TheCapitolNet**



RL30857

September 14, 2023

Valerie Heitshusen

Specialist on Congress and
the Legislative Process

Speakers of the House: Elections, 1913-2023

Each new House elects a Speaker by roll call vote when it first convenes. Customarily, the conference of each major party nominates a candidate whose name is placed in nomination. A Member normally votes for the candidate of his or her own party conference but may vote for any individual, whether nominated or not. To be elected, a candidate must receive an absolute majority of all the votes cast for individuals. This number may be less than a majority (now 218) of the full membership of the House because of vacancies, absentees, or Members answering “present.”

This report provides data on elections of the Speaker in each Congress since 1913, when the House first reached its present size of 435 Members. During that period (63rd through 118th Congresses), a Speaker was elected seven times with the votes of less than a majority of the full membership.

If a Speaker dies or resigns during a Congress, the House immediately elects a new one. Five such elections occurred since 1913. In the earlier two cases, the House elected the new Speaker by resolution; in the more recent three, the body used the same procedure as at the outset of a Congress.

If no candidate receives the requisite majority, the roll call is repeated until a Speaker is elected. Since 1913, this procedure has been necessary only in 1923 and 2023. In 1923, nine ballots were required before a Speaker was elected; in 2023, 15 ballots were required.

From 1913 through 1943, more often than not, some Members voted for candidates other than those of the two major parties. The candidates in question were usually those representing the “progressive” group (reformers originally associated with the Republican Party), and in some Congresses, their names were formally placed in nomination on behalf of that group. From 1945 through 1995, only the nominated Republican and Democratic candidates received votes, reflecting the establishment of an exclusively two-party system at the national level.

In 12 of the 15 elections since 1997, however, some Members have voted for candidates other than the official nominees of their parties. Only in the initial election in 2015 and the 2023 election, however, were any such candidates formally placed in nomination. Usually, the additional candidates receiving votes have been other Members of the voter’s own party, but in one instance, in 2001, a Member voted for the official nominee of the other party. In the 1997, 2013, 2015 (both instances), 2019, and 2021 elections, as well as on some ballots during the 2023 election, votes were cast for candidates who were not then Members of the House, including, in the initial 2015 election, the 2019 election, and the 2021 election, sitting Senators. Although the Constitution does not so require, the Speaker has always been a Member of the House.

The report will be updated as additional elections for Speaker occur.

Contents

Regular and Special Elections of the Speaker	1
Size of the House and Majority Required to Elect	1
Third and Additional Candidates	3

Tables

Table 1. Votes Cast on Ballots Electing the Speaker, 1913-2023	5
--	---

Contacts

Author Information.....	10
-------------------------	----

Regular and Special Elections of the Speaker

The traditional practice of the House is to elect a Speaker by roll call vote upon first convening after a general election of Representatives.¹ Customarily, the conference of each major party in the House selects a candidate whose name is formally placed in nomination before the roll call. A Member may vote for one of these nominated candidates or for another individual.² In the great majority of cases, Members vote for the candidate nominated by their own party conferences, since the outcome of this vote in effect establishes which party has the majority and therefore will organize the House.

Table 1 presents data on the votes by which the Speaker of the House of Representatives was elected in each Congress from 1913 (63rd Congress) through 2023 (118th Congress). It shows the votes cast for the nominees of the two major parties, other candidates nominated from the floor, and individuals not formally nominated.

Included in the table are not only the elections held regularly at the outset of each Congress but also those held during the course of a Congress as a result of the death or resignation of a sitting Speaker. Such elections have occurred five times during the period examined:

- in 1936 (74th Congress) upon the death of Speaker Joseph Byrns;
- in 1940 (76th Congress) upon the death of Speaker William Bankhead;
- in 1962 (87th Congress) upon the death of Speaker Sam Rayburn;
- in 1989 (101st Congress) upon the resignation of Speaker Jim Wright; and
- in 2015 (114th Congress) upon the resignation of Speaker John Boehner.

On the two earlier occasions among these five, the election was by resolution rather than by roll call vote. On the more recent three, the same procedure was followed as at the start of a Congress.

Size of the House and Majority Required to Elect

The data presented here cover the period during which the permanent size of the House has been set at 435 Members. This period corresponds to that since the admission of Arizona and New Mexico as the 47th and 48th states in 1912. The actual size of the House was 436, and then 437, for a brief period between the admission of Alaska and Hawaii (in 1958 and 1959) and the reapportionment of Representatives following the 1960 census.

By practice of the House going back to its earliest days, an absolute majority of the Members present and voting is required in order to elect a Speaker. A majority of the full membership of the House (218, in a House of 435) is not required. Precedents emphasize that the requirement is for a majority of “the total number of votes cast for a person by name.”³ A candidate for Speaker may

¹ Until the 1830s, the Speaker was elected by secret ballot. See Asher C. Hinds, *Hinds’ Precedents of the House of Representative of the United States*, vol. I (Washington, DC: GPO, 1906), §§187, 204-211. Also see Jeffrey A. Jenkins and Charles Stewart III, *Fighting for the Speakership: The House and the Rise of Party Government* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2013).

² Candidates may vote for themselves, although they have often declined to vote or voted “present.”

³ The Clerk, remarks from the chair (and parliamentary inquiry immediately following), *Congressional Record*, vol. 143, January 7, 1997, p. 117. See also Charles W. Johnson, John V. Sullivan, and Thomas J. Wickham Jr., *House Practice: A Guide to the Rules, Precedents, and Procedures of the House* (Washington, DC: GPO, 2017), ch. 34, §3, which states that “the Speaker is elected by a majority of Members-elect voting by surname, a quorum being present.” See also U.S. Congress, House, *Constitution, Jefferson’s Manual, and Rules of the House of Representatives, One Hundred Seventeenth Congress*, (compiled by) Jason A. Smith, Parliamentarian, 116th Cong., 2nd sess., H.Doc. 116-177 (Washington, DC: GPO, 2021), §27.

receive a majority of the votes cast, and be elected, while failing to obtain a majority of the full membership because some Members either are not present to vote or instead answer “present” rather than voting for a candidate. During the period examined, this kind of result has occurred seven times:

- in 1917 (65th Congress), “Champ” Clark was elected with 217 votes;
- in 1923 (68th Congress), Frederick Gillett was elected with 215 votes;
- in 1943 (78th Congress), Sam Rayburn was elected with 217 votes;
- in 1997 (105th Congress), Newt Gingrich was elected with 216 votes;
- in 2015 (114th Congress), John Boehner was elected with 216 votes;
- in 2021 (117th Congress), Nancy Pelosi was elected with 216 votes; and
- in 2023 (118th Congress), Kevin McCarthy was elected with 216 votes.

In addition, in 1931 (72nd Congress), the candidate of the new Democratic majority, John Nance Garner (later Vice President), received 218 votes, a bare majority of the membership. The table does not take into account the number of vacancies existing in the House at the time of the election; it therefore cannot show whether any Speaker may have been elected lacking a majority of the *then qualified* membership of the House.⁴

If no candidate obtains the requisite majority, the roll call is repeated. On these subsequent ballots, Members may still vote for any individual; no restrictions have ever been imposed, such as that the lowest candidate on each ballot must drop out, or that no new candidate may enter. Because of the predominance of the two established national parties during the period examined, only twice in the period has the House failed to elect on the first roll call.⁵ In 1923 (68th Congress), in a closely divided House, both major party nominees initially failed to gain a majority because of votes cast for other candidates by Members from the Progressive Party or from the “progressive” wing of the Republican Party. Many of these Members agreed to vote for the Republican candidate only on the ninth ballot, after the Republican leadership had agreed to accept a number of procedural reforms these Members favored. Thus the Republican was ultimately elected, although (as noted earlier) still with less than a majority of the full membership.⁶ At the start of the 118th Congress (in 2023), the House was also closely divided,

⁴ The existence of vacancies at the point when a new House first convened was more common before the 20th Amendment took effect in 1936. Until that time, a Congress elected in one November did not begin its term until March of the following year, and did not convene until December of that year, unless the previous Congress provided otherwise by law.

⁵ This occurrence, however, was more common before the period covered in this report, when the two-party system had not become as thoroughly established nor the discipline accompanying it as pronounced.

⁶ Full results were as follows:

Ballot	Date	Gillett (R)	Garrett (D)	Cooper	Madden	Present
1	Dec. 3, 1923	197	195	17	5	4
2	Dec. 3	194	194	17	6	3
3	Dec. 3	195	196	17	5	3
4	Dec. 3	197	196	17	5	3
5	Dec. 4	197	197	17	5	3
6	Dec. 4	195	197	17	5	3
7	Dec. 4	196	198	17	5	3
8	Dec. 4	197	198	17	5	3
9	Dec. 5	215	197	0	2	4

and the nominee of the majority party’s conference did not receive sufficient votes to be elected due to some of the party’s Members casting votes for other candidates. After 15 ballots over several days, during which majority party Members engaged in negotiations over policy and procedural differences, the majority party’s nominated candidate was elected when multiple Members voted “present.”⁷

Third and Additional Candidates

In the first portion of the period covered by **Table 1**, it was common for candidates other than those of the two major parties to receive votes. Such action occurred in 11 of the 16 Congresses (63rd-78th) that convened from 1913 through 1943. On 7 of those 11 occasions, candidates other than those of the two major parties were formally nominated. These events reflect chiefly the influence in Congress, during those three decades, of the progressive movement. The additional nominations were offered in the name of that movement, and the votes cast for Members other than the major party nominees also generally represent an expression of progressive sentiments.

During this period, the occurrence of additional nominations (displayed in the table) reflects changing views of Members identifying themselves as “progressives” about whether to constitute themselves in the House as a separate Progressive Party caucus or as a wing of the Republican Party. So does the pattern of shifts in the party labels by which these nominees and others receiving votes chose to designate themselves. The last formal Progressive Party nominee appeared in 1937 (75th Congress). After defeats in the following election, the only two remaining Members representing the Progressive Party were reduced to voting for each other for Speaker, and beginning in 1947 (80th Congress), the last standard-bearer of the tendency accepted the Republican label. The demise of this movement in the House represented the final stage in the establishment of a two-party system at the national level.

From 1945 through 1995 (79th-104th Congresses), only the official nominees of the two major parties received votes for Speaker. This pattern, in other words, persisted from the end of World

⁷ Full results were as follows:

Ballot	Date	McCarthy (R)	Jeffries (D)	Biggs	Jordan	Banks	Zeldin	Donalds	Trump	Hern	Present
1	Jan. 3, 2023	203	212	10	6	1	1	1	0	0	0
2	Jan. 3	203	212	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Jan. 3	202	212	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Jan. 4	201	212	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	1
5	Jan. 4	201	212	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	1
6	Jan. 4	201	212	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	1
7	Jan. 5	201	212	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	1
8	Jan. 5	201	212	0	0	0	0	17	1	2	1
9	Jan. 5	200	212	0	0	0	0	17	0	3	1
10	Jan. 5	200	212	0	0	0	0	13	0	7	1
11	Jan. 5	200	212	0	0	0	0	12	1	7	1
12	Jan. 6	213	211	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0
13	Jan. 6	214	212	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Jan. 6	216	212	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
15	Jan. 7	216	212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Pocket Constitution



The Declaration of Independence
The Constitution of the United States
The Bill of Rights
Amendments XI–XXVII
Gettysburg Address



TheCapitolNet

TCNFPC.com

War II and the advent of the “modern Congress”⁸ until after the Republicans had regained the majority in the 104th Congress (1995-1996) after four decades as the minority party. During this period, the presumption became firmly established that a Member’s vote for Speaker will reliably reflect his or her party membership.

The opening of the 105th Congress in 1997, accordingly, marked the first time since 1943 that anyone other than the two major party candidates received votes for Speaker. In 12 of the 15 speakership elections since then (1997-2023), at least one Member has voted for a candidate other than ones formally nominated by the major party conferences. Early in this period, votes cast for other candidates seem to have usually reflected specific circumstances and events, but in the most recent instances, some of them may be regarded as reflecting action by identifiable political factions or groupings. During this period, only in the initial election of 2015 and in some of the 2023 ballots have the names of any candidates other than those of the party conferences been formally placed in nomination.

The ballots in 1997, 2013, 2015 (both instances), 2019, 2021, and 2023 (for some ballots) were also notable because votes were cast for candidates who were not Members of the House at the time. In the initial election in 2015, two of the votes cast were for sitting Members of the Senate; in 2019 and 2021, one such ballot was cast. In 2023, votes were cast for a former President of the United States and a former Member of Congress. Although the Constitution does not require the Speaker (or any other officer of either chamber) to be a Member, the Speaker has always been so; it is not known that any votes for individuals other than Members to be Speaker had ever previously been cast in the history of the House.

Notably, in 2001, a Member who bore the designation of one major party voted for the nominee of the other. Although the table below does not indicate the party affiliation of the Members voting for each candidate, examination of other available records confirms that no such action had occurred at least for the previous half century.⁹

⁸ The “modern Congress” is usually reckoned from the implementation in the 80th Congress (1947-1948) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (P.L. 79-601, 60 Stat. 812).

⁹ Subsequently, in organizing for that Congress (the 107th), the party caucus against whose nominee the Member voted declined to provide him with committee assignments.

Table 1. Votes Cast on Ballots Electing the Speaker, 1913-2023

Year	Republican Nominee	Votes	Democratic Nominee	Votes	Others Receiving Votes	Votes
1913	James R. Mann (IL)	111	James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO)	272	<i>Victor Murdock</i> (P-KS) <i>Henry A. Cooper</i> (R-WI) <i>John M. Nelson</i> (R-WI)	18 4 1
1915	James R. Mann (IL)	195	James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO)	222		
1917	James R. Mann (IL)	205	James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO)	217	<i>Irvine L. Lenroot</i> (R-WI) <i>Frederick H. Gillett</i> (R-MA)	2 2
1919	Frederick H. Gillett (MA)	228	James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO)	172		
1921	Frederick H. Gillett (MA)	297	Claude Kitchin (NC)	122		
1923 (first ballot)	Frederick H. Gillett (MA)	197	Finis J. Garrett (TN)	195	<i>Henry A. Cooper</i> (R-WI) <i>Martin B. Madden</i> (R-IL)	17 5
(ninth ballot)	Frederick H. Gillett (MA)	215	Finis J. Garrett (TN)	197	<i>Martin B. Madden</i> (R-IL)	2
1925	Nicholas Longworth (OH)	229	Finis J. Garrett (TN)	173	<i>Henry A. Cooper</i> (R-WI)	13
1927	Nicholas Longworth (OH)	225	Finis J. Garrett (TN)	187		
1929	Nicholas Longworth (OH)	254	John N. Garner (TX)	143		
1931	Bertrand H. Snell (NY)	207	John N. Garner (TX)	218	<i>George J. Schneider</i> (R-WI)	5
1933	Bertrand H. Snell (NY)	110	Henry T. Rainey (IL)	302	<i>Paul J. Kvale</i> (F-L-MN)	5
1935	Bertrand H. Snell (NY)	95	Joseph W. Byrns (TN)	317	<i>George J. Schneider</i> (P-WI) <i>W.P. Lambertson</i> (R-KS)	9 2
1936 (June 4) ^a			William B. Bankhead (AL) (H.Res. 543) ^b	voice vote		
1937	Bertrand H. Snell (NY)	83	William B. Bankhead (AL)	324	<i>George J. Schneider</i> (P-WI) <i>Fred L. Crawford</i> (R-MI)	10 2
1939	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	168	William B. Bankhead (AL)	249	<i>Merlin Hull</i> (P-WI) <i>Bernard J. Gehrman</i> (P-WI)	1 1
1940 (Sept. 16) ^a			Sam Rayburn (TX) (H.Res. 602) ^b	voice vote		
1941	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	159	Sam Rayburn (TX)	247	<i>Merlin Hull</i> (P-WI) <i>Bernard J. Gehrman</i> (P-WI)	2 1
1943	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	206	Sam Rayburn (TX)	217	<i>Merlin Hull</i> (P-WI) <i>Harry Sauthoff</i> (P-WI)	1 1

Year	Republican Nominee	Votes	Democratic Nominee	Votes	Others Receiving Votes	Votes
1945	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	168	Sam Rayburn (TX)	224		
1947	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	244	Sam Rayburn (TX)	182		
1949	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	160	Sam Rayburn (TX)	255		
1951	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	193	Sam Rayburn (TX)	231		
1953	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	220	Sam Rayburn (TX)	201		
1955	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	198	Sam Rayburn (TX)	228		
1957	Joseph W. Martin (MA)	199	Sam Rayburn (TX)	227		
1959	Charles A. Halleck (IN)	148	Sam Rayburn (TX)	281		
1961	Charles A. Halleck (IN)	170	Sam Rayburn (TX)	258		
1962 (Jan. 10) ^a	Charles A. Halleck (IN)	166	John W. McCormack (MA)	248		
1963	Charles A. Halleck (IN)	175	John W. McCormack (MA)	256		
1965	Gerald R. Ford (MI)	139	John W. McCormack (MA)	289		
1967	Gerald R. Ford (MI)	186	John W. McCormack (MA)	246		
1969	Gerald R. Ford (MI)	187	John W. McCormack (MA)	241		
1971	Gerald R. Ford (MI)	176	Carl B. Albert (OK)	250		
1973	Gerald R. Ford (MI)	188	Carl B. Albert (OK)	236		
1975	John J. Rhodes (AZ)	143	Carl B. Albert (OK)	287		
1977	John J. Rhodes (AZ)	142	Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA)	290		
1979	John J. Rhodes (AZ)	152	Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA)	268		
1981	Robert H. Michel (IL)	183	Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA)	233		
1983	Robert H. Michel (IL)	155	Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA)	260		
1985	Robert H. Michel (IL)	175	Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA)	247		
1987	Robert H. Michel (IL)	173	Jim Wright (TX)	254		
1989	Robert H. Michel (IL)	170	Jim Wright (TX)	253		
1989 (June 6) ^a	Robert H. Michel (IL)	164	Thomas S. Foley (WA)	251		
1991	Robert H. Michel (IL)	165	Thomas S. Foley (WA)	262		
1993	Robert H. Michel (IL)	174	Thomas S. Foley (WA)	255		

Year	Republican Nominee	Votes	Democratic Nominee	Votes	Others Receiving Votes	Votes
1995	Newt Gingrich (GA)	228	Richard A. Gephardt (MO)	202		
1997	Newt Gingrich (GA)	216	Richard A. Gephardt (MO)	205	James Leach (R-IA) Robert H. Michel ^c Robert Walker ^c	2 1 1
1999	J. Dennis Hastert (IL)	220	Richard A. Gephardt (MO)	205		
2001	J. Dennis Hastert (IL)	222	Richard A. Gephardt (MO)	206	John P. Murtha (D-PA)	1
2003	J. Dennis Hastert (IL)	228	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	201	John P. Murtha (D-PA)	1
2005	J. Dennis Hastert (IL)	226	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	199	John P. Murtha (D-PA)	1
2007	John A. Boehner (OH)	202	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	233		
2009	John A. Boehner (OH)	174	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	255		
2011	John A. Boehner (OH)	241	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	173	Heath Shuler (D-NC) John Lewis (D-GA) Jim Costa (D-CA) Dennis Cardoza (D-CA) Jim Cooper (D-TN) Marcy Kaptur (D-OH) Steny H. Hoyer (D-MD)	11 2 1 1 1 1 1
2013	John A. Boehner (OH)	220	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	192	Eric Cantor (R-VA) Allen West ^c Jim Cooper (D-TN) John Lewis (D-GA) Jim Jordan (R-OH) Colin Powell ^c Raúl R. Labrador (R-ID) Justin Amash (R-MI) John Dingell (D-MI) David Walker ^c	3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Year	Republican Nominee	Votes	Democratic Nominee	Votes	Others Receiving Votes	Votes
2015	John A. Boehner (OH)	216	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	164	<i>Daniel Webster</i> (R-FL) <i>Louie Gohmert</i> (R-TX) <i>Ted S. Yoho</i> (R-FL) Jim Jordan (R-OH) Jeff Duncan (R-SC) Sen. Rand Paul (R-KY) ^c Colin Powell ^c Trey Gowdy (R-SC) Kevin McCarthy (R-CA) Jim Cooper (D-TN) Peter A. DeFazio (D-OR) Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-AL) ^c John Lewis (D-GA)	12 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2015 (Oct. 29) ^a	Paul D. Ryan (WI)	236	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	184	Daniel Webster (R-FL) Colin Powell ^c Jim Cooper (D-TN) John Lewis (D-GA)	9 1 1 1
2017	Paul D. Ryan (WI)	239	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	189	Tim Ryan (D-OH) Daniel Webster (R-FL) Jim Cooper (D-TN) John Lewis (D-GA)	2 1 1 1
2019	Kevin McCarthy (CA)	192	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	220	Jim Jordan (R-OH) Cheri Bustos (D-IL) Sen. Tammy Duckworth (D-IL) ^c Thomas Massie (R-KY) Joseph Biden ^c John Lewis (D-GA) Joseph P. Kennedy, III (D-MA) Stephanie Murphy (D-FL) Marcia L. Fudge (D-OH) Stacey Abrams ^c	5 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2021	Kevin McCarthy (CA)	209	Nancy Pelosi (CA)	216	Sen. Tammy Duckworth (D-IL) ^c Rep. Hakeem Jeffries (D-NY)	1 1

Year	Republican Nominee	Votes	Democratic Nominee	Votes	Others Receiving Votes	Votes
2023 (first ballot)	Kevin McCarthy (CA)	203	Hakeem S. Jeffries (NY)	212	Andy Biggs (R-AZ) Jim Jordan (R-OH) Jim Banks (R-IN) Lee Zeldin ^c Byron Donalds (R-FL)	10 6 1 1 1
(15 th ballot)	Kevin McCarthy (CA)	214	Hakeem S. Jeffries (NY)	212		

Source: *Journals of the House of Representatives* (for 2003-2011, *Congressional Record*, daily edition, and for 2013-2023, Clerk of the House website). Party designations are taken from the *Congressional Directory* for the respective years since these reflect a Member's official party self-designation; historical sources may differ as to the effective party affiliation of certain individuals.

Key:

Elected candidate in **bold**.

"Other" candidate's name formally placed in nomination in *italics*.

Party designations of "other" candidates: R = Republican, P = Progressive, F-L = Farmer-Labor.

Notes:

- a. Special election to fill a vacancy in the speakership caused by death or resignation.
- b. Elected by resolution, not by roll call from nominations.
- c. Not a Member of the House at the time.

Author Information

Valerie Heitshusen
Specialist on Congress and the Legislative Process

Acknowledgments

This report was initially written by Richard S. Beth, former CRS Specialist on Congress and the Legislative Process. Updates in recent years were coauthored with the current author, who is available to answer inquiries from congressional clients on the topic.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Learn how Capitol Hill really works

All of our programs and any combination of their topics can be tailored for custom training for your organization.

For more than 40 years, TheCapitol.Net and its predecessor, Congressional Quarterly Executive Conferences, have been teaching professionals from government, military, business, and NGOs about the dynamics and operations of the legislative and executive branches and how to work with them.

Our training, on-site and online, and publications include congressional operations, legislative and budget process, communication and advocacy, media and public relations, research, testifying before Congress, legislative drafting, critical thinking and writing, and more.

- **Diverse Client Base**—We have tailored hundreds of custom on-site and online training programs for Congress, numerous agencies in all federal departments, the military, law firms, lobbying firms, unions, think tanks and NGOs, foreign delegations, associations and corporations, delivering exceptional insight into how Washington works.™
- **Experienced Program Design and Delivery**—We have designed and delivered hundreds of custom programs covering congressional/legislative operations, budget process, media training, writing skills, legislative drafting, advocacy, research, testifying before Congress, grassroots, and more.
- **Professional Materials**—We provide training materials and publications that show how Washington works. Our publications are designed both as course materials and as invaluable reference tools.
- **Large Team of Experienced Faculty**—More than 150 faculty members provide independent subject matter expertise. Each program is designed using the best faculty member for each session.
- **Non-Partisan**—TheCapitol.Net is non-partisan.
- **GSA Schedule**—TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training: GSA Contract GS02F0192X.

Please see our Capability Statement on our web site at TCNCS.com.

Custom training programs are designed to meet your educational and training goals, each led by independent subject-matter experts best qualified to help you reach your educational objectives and align with your audience.

As part of your custom program, we can also provide online venue, classroom space, breaks and meals, receptions, tours, and online registration and individual attendee billing services.

For more information about custom on-site training for your organization, please see our web site: TCNCustom.com or call us: 202-678-1600, ext 115.



TheCapitol.Net

Non-partisan training and publications that show how Washington works.™

PO Box 25706, Alexandria, VA 22313-5706
202-678-1600 • www.thecapitol.net



TheCapitol.Net is on the GSA Schedule for custom training.
GSA Contract GS02F0192X

